

EXPONENTIAL STABILITY OF THE HEAT EQUATION WITH BOUNDARY TIME-VARYING DELAYS

MOUATAZ BILLAH MESMOULI¹, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI^{1,2,*} AND AHCENE DJOUDI¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the heat equation with a time-varying delays term in the boundary condition in a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , the boundary Γ is a class C^2 such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$, with $\overline{\Gamma_D} \cap \overline{\Gamma_N} = \emptyset$, $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_N \neq \emptyset$. Well-posedness of the problems is analyzed by using semigroup theory. The exponential stability of the problem is proved. This paper extends in n -dimensional the results of the heat equation obtained in [11].

1. INTRODUCTION

Time-delay often appears in many biological, electrical engineering systems and mechanical applications, and in many cases, delay is a source of instability [3]. In the case of distributed parameter systems, even arbitrarily small delays in the feedback may destabilize the system (see e.g. [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 14]). The stability issue of systems with delay is, therefore, of theoretical and practical importance.

In present paper, we are interested in the effect of a time-varying delays in boundary stabilization of the heat equation in domains of \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open bounded set with boundary Γ of class C^2 . We assume that Γ is divided into two parts Γ_N and Γ_D ; i.e., $\Gamma = \Gamma_D \cup \Gamma_N$ with $\overline{\Gamma_D} \cap \overline{\Gamma_N} = \emptyset$, $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_N \neq \emptyset$.

In this domain Ω , we consider the initial boundary value problem

$$(1.1) \quad u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = -\mu_1 u(x, t) - \mu_2 u(x, t - \tau(t)) \text{ on } \Gamma_N \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(1.4) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$(1.5) \quad u(x, t - \tau(0)) = f_0(x, t - \tau(0)) \text{ on } \Gamma_N \times (0, \tau(0)),$$

where $\nu(x)$ denotes the outer unit normal vector to the point $x \in \Gamma$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ is the normal derivative. Moreover, $\tau(t) > 0$, $\mu_1, \mu_2 \geq 0$ are fixed nonnegative real numbers, the initial datum (u_0, f_0) belongs to a suitable space.

On the functions $\tau(\cdot)$ we assume that there exists a positive constants $\bar{\tau}$, such that

$$(1.6) \quad 0 < \tau_0 \leq \tau(t) \leq \bar{\tau}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

Moreover, we assume

$$(1.7) \quad \tau'(t) < 1, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \tau \in W^{2,\infty}([0, T]), \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Note that , if $t < \tau(t)$, then $u(x, t - \tau(t))$ is in the past and we need an initial value in the past. Moreover, by (1.7) and the mean value theorem, we have

$$\tau(t) - \tau(0) < t,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35L05, 93D15.

Key words and phrases. Heat equation; delay feedbacks; stabilization; Lyapunov method.

©2016 Authors retain the copyrights of their papers, and all open access articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

which implies

$$t - \tau(t) > -\tau(0),$$

we thus obtain the initial condition (1.5).

The last boundary-value problem describes the propagation of heat in a homogeneous n -dimensional rod. Here a stands for the heat conduction coefficient, $u(x, t)$ is the value of the temperature field of the plant at time moment t and location x along the rod. In the sequel, the state dependence on time t and spatial variable x is suppressed whenever possible.

The above problem, with both $\mu_1, \mu_2 > 0$ and a time-varying delay, has been studied in one space dimension by Nicaise, Valein and Fridman [12]. In [12] an exponential stability result is given, under the condition

$$(1.9) \quad \mu_2 < \sqrt{1-d}\mu_1,$$

where d is a constant such that

$$(1.10) \quad \tau'(t) \leq d < 1, \quad \forall t > 0,$$

We are interested in giving an exponential stability result for such a problem. Let us denote by $\langle v, w \rangle$ the Euclidean inner product between two vectors $(v, w) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Under a suitable relation between the above coefficients we can give a well-posedness result and an exponential stability estimate for problem (1.1)–(1.5).

2. WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE PROBLEM

Using semigroup theory we can give the well-posedness of problem (1.1)–(1.5). Let us stand

$$z(x, \rho, t) = u(x, t - \tau(t)\rho), \quad x \in \Gamma_N, \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0.$$

Then, the problem (1.1)–(1.5) is equivalent to

$$(2.1) \quad u_t(x, t) - \Delta u(x, t) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(t)z_t(x, \rho, t) + (1 - \tau'(t)\rho)z_\rho(x, \rho, t) = 0 \text{ in } \Gamma_N \times (0, 1) \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.3) \quad u(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x, t) = -\mu_1 u(x, t) - \mu_2 z(x, 1, t) \text{ on } \Gamma_N \times (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.5) \quad z(x, 0, t) = u(x, t), \quad x \in \Gamma_N, \quad t > 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega,$$

$$(2.7) \quad z(x, \rho, 0) = f_0(x, -\tau(0)\rho), \quad x \in \Gamma_N, \quad \rho \in (0, 1).$$

If we denote by

$$U := (u, z)^T,$$

then

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} u_t \\ z_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \frac{(\tau'(t)\rho-1)}{\tau(t)} z_\rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, problem (2.1)–(2.7) can be rewritten as

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} U' = \mathcal{A}(t)U, \\ U(0) = (u_0, f_0(\cdot, -\cdot\tau(0)))^T, \end{cases}$$

in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} defined by

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{H} := L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma_N \times (0, 1)),$$

equipped with the standard inner product

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} := \int_{\Omega} u(x)\tilde{u}(x)dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho)\tilde{z}(x, \rho)d\rho d\Gamma.$$

The time varying operator $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(t) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \frac{(\tau'(t)\rho-1)}{\tau(t)} z_\rho \end{pmatrix},$$

with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t)) : = \left\{ (u, z)^T \in (E(\Delta, L^2(\Omega)) \cap V) \times L^2(\Gamma_N, H^1(0, 1)) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -\mu_1 u - \mu_2 z(\cdot, 1) \text{ on } \Gamma_N, u = z(\cdot, 0) \text{ on } \Gamma_N \right\},$$

where,

$$V = H_{\Gamma_D}^1 = \{u \in H^1(\Omega), u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_D\},$$

and

$$E(\Delta, L^2(\Omega)) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : \Delta u \in L^2(\Omega)\}.$$

Recall that for a function $u \in E(\Delta, L^2(\Omega))$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$ belongs to $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_N)$ and the next Green formula is valid (see section 1.5 of [4])

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \varphi dx + \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\Gamma_N}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{\Gamma_D}^1(\Omega),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Gamma_N}$ means the duality pairing between $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_N)$ and $H^{1/2}(\Gamma_N)$.

Observe that the domain of $\mathcal{A}(t)$ is independent of the time t , i.e.,

$$(2.11) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0)), \quad t > 0.$$

Note further that for $(u, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t))$, $\partial u / \partial \nu$ belongs to $L^2(\Gamma_N)$, since $z(x, 1)$ is in $L^2(\Gamma_N)$.

A general theory for equations of type (2.8) has been developed using semigroup theory [6, 7, 13]. The simplest way to prove existence and uniqueness results is to show that the triplet $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))\}$, with $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$, for some fixed $T > 0$, forms a CD-system (or constant domain system, see [6, 7]). More precisely, we can obtain a well-posedness result using semigroup arguments by Kato [5, 6, 13]. The following result is proved in [5, Theorem 1.9].

Theorem 1. *Assume that*

- (i) $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$ is a dense subset of \mathcal{H} ,
- (ii) $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t)) = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$ for all $t > 0$,
- (iii) for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\mathcal{A}(t)$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on \mathcal{H} and the family $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is stable with stability constants C and m independent of t (i.e. the semigroup $(S_t(s))_{s \geq 0}$ generated by $\mathcal{A}(t)$ satisfies $\|S_t(s)u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C e^{ms} \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, for all $u \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s \geq 0$),
- (iv) $\partial_t \mathcal{A}$ belongs to $L_*^\infty([0, T], B(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0)), \mathcal{H}))$, the space of equivalent classes of essentially bounded, strongly measurable functions from $[0, T]$ into the set $B(\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0)), \mathcal{H})$ of bounded operators from $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$ into \mathcal{H} .

Then, problem (2.8) has a unique solution $U \in C([0, T], \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))) \cap C^1([0, T], \mathcal{H})$ for any initial datum in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$.

Our goal is then to check the above assumptions for problem (2.8).

Lemma 1. $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. Let $(f, h)^T \in \mathcal{H}$ be orthogonal to all elements of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$, that is,

$$0 = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g \\ h \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\Omega} u(x)g(x)dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho)h(x, \rho)d\rho d\Gamma,$$

for all $(u, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$. We first take $u = 0$ and $z \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_N \times (0, 1))$. As $(0, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho)h(x, \rho)d\rho d\Gamma = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(\Gamma_N \times (0, 1))$ is dense in $L^2(\Gamma_N \times (0, 1))$, we deduce that $h = 0$.

In the same way, by taking $z = 0$ and $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we see that $g = 0$. \square

Assuming (1.9) and (1.10) hold. Let ξ be a positive constant that satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}} \leq \xi \leq 2\mu_1 - \frac{\mu_2}{\sqrt{1-d}}.$$

Note that this choice of ξ is possible from assumption (1.9).

We define on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} the time dependent inner product

$$(2.13) \quad \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{z} \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_t := \int_{\Omega} u(x) \tilde{u}(x) dx + \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z(x, \rho) \tilde{z}(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma.$$

Using this time dependent inner product and Theorem 1, we can deduce a well-posedness result.

Theorem 2. *For any initial datum $U_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))$ there exists a unique solution*

$$U \in C([0, +\infty), \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(0))) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), \mathcal{H}),$$

of system (2.8).

Proof. We first observe that

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\|\phi\|_t}{\|\phi\|_s} \leq e^{\frac{c}{2\tau_0}|t-s|}, \quad \forall t, s \in [0, T],$$

where $\phi = (u, z)^T$ and c is a positive constant. Indeed, for all $s, t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_t^2 - \|\phi\|_s^2 e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|} &= \left(1 - e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|}\right) \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx \\ &\quad + \xi \left(\tau(t) - \tau(s) e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|}\right) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z^2(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

We notice that $1 - e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|} \leq 0$. Moreover $\tau(t) - \tau(s) e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|} \leq 0$ for some $c > 0$. Indeed, $\tau(t) = \tau(s) + \tau'(a)(t-s)$, where $a \in (s, t)$, and thus,

$$\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau(s)} \leq 1 + \frac{|\tau'(a)|}{\tau(s)} |t-s|.$$

By (1.8), τ' is bounded on $[0, T]$ and therefore, recalling also (1.7),

$$\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau(s)} \leq 1 + \frac{c}{\tau_0} |t-s| \leq e^{\frac{c}{\tau_0}|t-s|},$$

which proves (2.14).

Now we calculate $\langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t$ for a fixed t . Take $U = (u, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \frac{\tau'(t)\rho-1}{\tau(t)} z_\rho \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_t \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u(x) \Delta u(x) dx - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) z_\rho(x, \rho) z(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Green's formula,

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t &= \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} u(x) d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) z_\rho(x, \rho) z(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts in ρ , we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z_\rho(x, \rho) z(x, \rho) (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) d\rho d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} z^2(x, \rho) (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) d\rho d\Gamma \\ &= \frac{\tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z^2(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \{z^2(x, 1) (1 - \tau'(t)) - z^2(x, 0)\} d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.15) and (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t \\
 &= \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} u(x) d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \{z^2(x, 1) (1 - \tau'(t)) - z^2(x, 0)\} d\Gamma - \frac{\xi \tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z^2(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma \\
 &= - \int_{\Gamma_N} [\mu_1 u(x) + \mu_2 z(x, 1)] u(x) d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \\
 &+ \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x) d\Gamma - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \{z^2(x, 1) (1 - \tau'(t))\} d\Gamma - \frac{\xi \tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_1} \int_0^1 z^2(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma \\
 &= - \left(\mu_1 - \frac{\xi}{2} \right) \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x) d\Gamma - \mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_N} z(x, 1) u(x) d\Gamma - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \{z^2(x, 1) (1 - \tau'(t))\} d\Gamma - \frac{\xi \tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 z^2(x, \rho) d\rho d\Gamma,
 \end{aligned}$$

from which, using Cauchy-Schwarz's, Poincaré's inequality and (1.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t &\leq \left(-\mu_1 + \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} - \frac{1}{C_p} \right) \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x) d\Gamma \\
 &+ \left(\frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{1-d}}{2} - \frac{\xi}{2} (1-d) \right) \int_{\Gamma_N} z^2(x, 1) d\Gamma + \kappa(t) \langle U, U \rangle_t,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{(\tau'^2(t) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\tau(t)}. \tag{2.18}$$

Now, observe that from (2.12),

$$-\mu_1 + \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \leq 0, \quad \frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{1-d}}{2} - \frac{\xi}{2} (1-d) \leq 0.$$

Then

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(t)U, U \rangle_t - \kappa(t) \langle U, U \rangle_t \leq 0, \tag{2.19}$$

which means that the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) - \kappa(t)I$ is dissipative.

Moreover,

$$\kappa'(t) = \frac{\tau''(t)\tau'(t)}{2\tau(t)(\tau'^2(t) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{\tau'(t)(\tau'^2(t) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\tau(t)^2},$$

is bounded on $[0, T]$ for all $T > 0$ (by (1.6) and (1.7)) and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}(t)U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{\tau''(t)\tau(t)\rho - \tau'(t)(\tau'(t)\rho - 1)}{\tau(t)^2} z_\rho \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\frac{\tau''(t)\tau(t)\rho - \tau'(t)(\tau'(t)\rho - 1)}{\tau(t)^2}$ bounded on $[0, T]$. Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) \in L_*^\infty([0, T], B(D(\mathcal{A}(0)), \mathcal{H})), \tag{2.20}$$

the space of equivalence classes of essentially bounded, strongly measurable functions from $[0, T]$ into $B(D(\mathcal{A}(0)), \mathcal{H})$.

Now, we show that $\lambda I - \mathcal{A}(t)$ is surjective for fixed $t > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. Given $(g, h)^T \in \mathcal{H}$, we seek $U = (u, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}(t))$ solution of

$$(\lambda I - \mathcal{A}(t)) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ h \end{pmatrix},$$

that is verifying

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda u - \Delta u = g, \\ \lambda z + \frac{1-\tau'(t)\rho}{\tau(t)} z_\rho = h. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that we have found u with the appropriate regularity. We can then determine z , indeed z satisfies the differential equation,

$$\lambda z(x, \rho) + \frac{1-\tau'(t)\rho}{\tau(t)} z_\rho(x, \rho) = h(x, \rho), \text{ for } x \in \Gamma, \rho \in (0, 1),$$

and the boundary condition

$$(2.22) \quad z(x, 0) = u(x), \text{ for } x \in \Gamma_N.$$

Therefore z is explicitly given by

$$z(x, \rho) = u(x)e^{-\lambda\rho\tau(t)} + \tau(t)e^{-\lambda\rho\tau(t)} \int_0^\rho h(x, \sigma)e^{\lambda\sigma\tau(t)} d\sigma,$$

if $\tau'(t) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z(x, \rho) &= u(x)e^{\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t)\rho)} \\ &+ e^{\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t)\rho)} \int_0^\rho \frac{h(x, \sigma)\tau(t)}{1-\tau'(t)\sigma} e^{-\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t)\sigma)} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

otherwise. This means that once u is found with the appropriate properties, we can find z .

In particular, if $\tau'(t) = 0$,

$$(2.23) \quad z(x, 1) = u(x)e^{-\lambda\tau(t)} + z_0(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_N,$$

with $z_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_N)$ defined by

$$(2.24) \quad z_0(x) = \tau(t)e^{-\lambda\tau(t)} \int_0^1 h(x, \sigma)e^{\lambda\sigma\tau(t)} d\sigma, \quad x \in \Gamma_N,$$

and, if $\tau'(t) \neq 0$,

$$(2.25) \quad z(x, 1) = u(x)e^{\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t))} + z_0(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_N,$$

with $z_0 \in L^2(\Gamma_N)$ defined by

$$(2.26) \quad z_0(x) = e^{\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t))} \int_0^1 \frac{h(x, \sigma)\tau(t)}{1-\tau'(t)\sigma} e^{-\lambda\frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t)\sigma)} d\sigma,$$

for $x \in \Gamma_N$. Then, we have to find u . In view of the equation

$$(2.27) \quad \lambda u - \Delta u = g.$$

Multiplying this identity by a test function ϕ and integrating in space

$$(2.28) \quad \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi - \Delta u \phi) dx = \int_\Omega g \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in H_{\Gamma_D}^1,$$

using Green's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi - \Delta u \phi) dx &= \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx - \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \phi d\Gamma \\ &= \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} (\mu_1 u + \mu_2 z(x, 1)) \phi d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi - \Delta u \phi) dx &= \int_\Omega (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_N} \left(\mu_1 u + \mu_2 \left(u e^{-\lambda\tau(t)} + z_0 \right) \right) \phi d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

if $\tau'(t) = 0$, and by (2.25)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u \phi - \Delta u \phi) dx &= \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_N} \left(\mu_1 u + \mu_2 \left(u e^{\lambda \frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t))} + z_0 \right) \right) \phi d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

otherwise. Therefore, (2.28) can be rewritten as

$$(2.29) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} \left(\mu_1 u + \mu_2 \left(u e^{-\lambda \tau(t)} + z_0 \right) \right) \phi d\Gamma = \int_{\Omega} g \phi dx,$$

if $\tau'(t) = 0$, and

$$(2.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} (\lambda u \phi + \nabla u \nabla \phi) dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} \left(\mu_1 u + \mu_2 \left(u e^{\lambda \frac{\tau(t)}{\tau'(t)} \ln(1-\tau'(t))} + z_0 \right) \right) \phi d\Gamma \\ &= \int_{\Omega} g \phi dx, \end{aligned}$$

otherwise. As the left-hand side of (2.29) or (2.30) is coercive on $H_{\Gamma_D}^1(\Omega)$, the Lax-Milgram lemma guarantees the existence and uniqueness of a solution $u \in H_{\Gamma_D}^1(\Omega)$ of (2.29), (2.30).

If we consider $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in (2.29), (2.30), we have that u solves (2.27) in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and thus $u \in E(\Delta, L^2(\Omega))$.

Using Green's formula (2.10) in (2.29) and using (2.27), we obtain, if $\tau'(t) = 0$

$$\int_{\Gamma_N} \left(\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{-\lambda \tau(t)} \right) u \phi d\Gamma + \left\langle \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}, \phi \right\rangle_{\Gamma_N} = -\mu_2 \int_{\Gamma_N} z_0 \phi d\Gamma,$$

from which follows

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \left(\mu_1 + \mu_2 e^{-\lambda \tau(t)} \right) u = -\mu_2 z_0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N,$$

which imply that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = -\mu_1 u - \mu_2 z(\cdot, 1) \text{ on } \Gamma_N,$$

where we have used (2.23) and (2.27).

We find the same result if $\tau'(t) \neq 0$.

In conclusion, we have found $(u, z)^T \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$, which verifies (2.21), and thus $\lambda I - \mathcal{A}(t)$ is surjective for some $\lambda > 0$ and $t > 0$. Again as $\kappa(t) > 0$, this proves that

$$(2.31) \quad \lambda I - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) = (\lambda + \kappa(t))I - \mathcal{A}(t) \text{ is surjective,}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$ and $t > 0$.

Then, (2.14), (2.19) and (2.31) imply that the family $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is a stable family of generators in \mathcal{H} with stability constants independent of t , by [6, Proposition 1.1]. Therefore, the assumptions (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1 are satisfied by (2.11), (2.14), (2.19), (2.31), (2.20) and Lemma 1, and thus, the problem

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{U}' = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t)\tilde{U}, \\ \tilde{U}(0) = U_0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $\tilde{U} \in C([0, +\infty), D(\mathcal{A}(0))) \cap C^1([0, +\infty), \mathcal{H})$ for $U_0 \in D(\mathcal{A}(0))$. The requested solution of (2.8) is then given by

$$U(t) = e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{U}(t),$$

with $\beta(t) = \int_0^t \kappa(s) ds$, because

$$\begin{aligned} &U' e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{U}(t) + e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{U}'(t) \\ &= \kappa(t) e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{U}(t) + e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) \tilde{U}(t) \\ &= e^{\beta(t)} (\kappa(t) \tilde{U}(t) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(t) \tilde{U}(t)) \\ &= e^{\beta(t)} \mathcal{A}(t) \tilde{U}(t) = \mathcal{A}(t) e^{\beta(t)} \tilde{U}(t) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(t) U(t). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

3. THE DECAY OF THE ENERGY

Let us choose the following energy

$$(3.1) \quad E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x, t) dx + \frac{\xi \tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 u^2(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) dp d\Gamma,$$

where ξ is a suitable positive constant.

Proposition 1. *Let (1.9) and (1.10) be satisfied. Then for all regular solution of problem (2.8), the energy is decreasing and satisfies*

$$(3.2) \quad E'(t) \leq -C \left(\int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma + \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) d\Gamma \right).$$

Proof. Differentiating (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} uu_t dx + \frac{\xi \tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 u^2(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) dp d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau'(t) \rho) u(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) u_t(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) dp d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} u \Delta u dx + \frac{\xi \tau'(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 u^2(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) dp d\Gamma \\ &\quad + \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 (1 - \tau'(t) \rho) u(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) u_t(x, t - \tau(t) \rho) dp d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By Green's formula and integrating by parts in ρ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\Gamma_N} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) (1 - \tau'(t)) d\Gamma + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and by (1.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Gamma_N} [\mu_1 u^2(x, t) + \mu_2 u(x, t) u(x, t - \tau(t))] d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) (1 - \tau'(t)) d\Gamma + \frac{\xi}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's and Poincaré's inequality, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &\leq \left(-\frac{1}{C_p} - \mu_1 + \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \right) \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\xi(1-d)}{2} + \frac{\mu_2\sqrt{1-d}}{2} \right) \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since the condition (2.12), we deduce that

$$-\frac{1}{C_p} - \mu_1 + \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\mu_2}{2\sqrt{1-d}} \leq 0.$$

which concludes the proof. \square

4. EXPONENTIAL STABILITY

In this section, we will give an exponential stability result for the problem (1.1)–(1.5) by using the following Lyapunov functional

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(t) = E(t) + \gamma \widehat{E}(t),$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is a parameter that will be fixed small enough later on, E is the standard energy defined by (3.1) and \widehat{E} is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad \widehat{E}(t) = \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) dp d\Gamma.$$

Note that, the functional \widehat{E} is equivalent to the energy E , that is there exist two positive constant d_1, d_2 such that

$$(4.3) \quad d_1 E(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(t) \leq d_2 E(t).$$

Theorem 3. *Assume (1.6) and (1.7). Then, there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that for any solution of problem (1.1)–(1.5),*

$$E(t) \leq C_1 E(0) e^{-C_2 t}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Proof. First, we differentiate $\widehat{E}(t)$ to have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(t) &= \frac{\tau'(t)}{\tau(t)} \widehat{E}(t) \\ &\quad + \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 (-2\tau'(t)\rho) e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) dp d\Gamma + J, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J = 2\xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) u_t(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) u(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) dp d\Gamma.$$

Moreover, by noticing one more time that

$$z(x, \rho, t) = u(x, t - \tau(t)\rho), \quad x \in \Gamma_N, \quad \rho \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0,$$

and by integrating by parts in ρ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= -\xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} (1 - \tau'(t)\rho) \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (z(x, \rho, t))^2 dp d\Gamma \\ &= \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} [-2\tau(t)(1 - \tau'(t)\rho) - \tau'(t)] z^2(x, \rho, t) dp d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} e^{-2\tau(t)} (1 - \tau'(t)) z^2(x, 1, t) d\Gamma + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} z^2(x, 0, t) d\Gamma \\ &= \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} [-2\tau(t)(1 - \tau'(t)\rho) - \tau'(t)] u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) dp d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} e^{-2\tau(t)} (1 - \tau'(t)) u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) d\Gamma + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(t) &= \frac{\tau'(t)}{\tau(t)} \widehat{E}(t) + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\tau(t)\rho} [-2\tau(t) - \tau'(t)] u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) dp d\Gamma \\ &\quad - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} e^{-2\tau(t)} (1 - \tau'(t)) u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) d\Gamma + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma \\ &= -2\widehat{E}(t) - \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} e^{-2\tau(t)} (1 - \tau'(t)) u^2(x, t - \tau(t)) d\Gamma + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

As $\tau'(t) < 1$, we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(t) \leq -2\widehat{E}(t) + \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma.$$

Consequently, gathering (3.2), (4.1) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(t) \\ &\leq -2\gamma \widehat{E}(t) + \gamma \xi \int_{\Gamma_N} u^2(x, t) d\Gamma \\ &\quad - C \int_{\Gamma_N} (u^2(x, t) + u^2(x, t - \tau(t))) d\Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for γ sufficiently small, we can estimate

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq -2\gamma \widehat{E}(t) - C \int_{\Gamma_N} (u^2(x, t) + u^2(x, t - \tau(t))) d\Gamma.$$

Now, observe that by assumption (1.6) on $\tau(t)$, we can deduce

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{E}(t) &\geq \xi \tau(t) \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 e^{-2\bar{\tau}\rho} u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) d\rho d\Gamma \\ &\geq \frac{k\xi\tau(t)}{2} \int_{\Gamma_N} \int_0^1 u^2(x, t - \tau(t)\rho) d\rho d\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constant k . Therefore, from (4.5) and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(t) &\leq -2\gamma \widehat{E}(t) - C \int_{\Gamma_N} (u^2(x, t) + u^2(x, t - \tau(t))) d\Gamma \\ &\leq -kE(t) \leq -K\mathcal{E}(t). \end{aligned}$$

for suitable positive constants k, K ; where we used also the first inequality in (4.3). This clearly implies

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq e^{-Kt} \mathcal{E}(0),$$

and so, using (4.3),

$$E(t) \leq C_1 e^{-C_2 t} E(0),$$

for suitable constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Ali Mehmeti, *Nonlinear Waves in Networks*, Mathematical Research, 80, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] R. Datko, *Not all feedback stabilized hyperbolic systems are robust with respect to small time delays in their feedbacks*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 26 (1988), 697–713.
- [3] J. Hale and S. Verduyn Lunel, *Introduction to Functional Differential Equations*, volume 99 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [4] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 21, Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1985.
- [5] T. Kato, *Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations*, 19 (1967), 508–520.
- [6] T. Kato, *Linear and quasilinear equations of evolution of hyperbolic type*, II ciclo:125–191, 1976.
- [7] T. Kato, *Abstract differential equations and nonlinear mixed problems*, Lezioni Fermiane. [Fermi Lectures]. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 1985.
- [8] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*. Vol. 1, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, 17, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [9] H. Logemann, R. Rebarber and G. Weiss, *Conditions for robustness and nonrobustness of the stability of feedback systems with respect to small delays in the feedback loop*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 34 (1996), 572–600.
- [10] S. Nicaise and C. Pignotti, *Stability and instability results of the wave equation with a delay term in the boundary or internal feedbacks*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 45 (2006), 1561–1585.
- [11] S. Nicaise, J. Valein and E. Fridman, *Stability of the heat and of the wave equations with boundary time-varying delays*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S. 2 (2009), 559–581.
- [12] S. Nicaise, J. Valein and E. Fridman, *Stability of the heat and of the wave equations with boundary time-varying delays*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S. 4 (2011), 693–722.
- [13] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Math. Sciences. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

- [14] R. Rebarber and S. Townley, *Robustness with respect to delays for exponential stability of distributed parameter systems*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 37 (1999), 230–244.

¹APPLIED MATHEMATICS LAB, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIV ANNABA, P.O. BOX 12, ANNABA 23000, ALGERIA

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIV SOUK AHRAS, P.O. BOX 1553, SOUK AHRAS, 41000, ALGERIA

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: ABD_ARDJOUNI@YAHOO.FR