

Derivations of Hilbert Algebras

Aiyared Iampan^{1,*}, R. Alayakkaniamuthu², P. Gomathi Sundari², N. Rajesh²

¹*Fuzzy Algebras and Decision-Making Problems Research Unit, Department of Mathematics, School of Science, University of Phayao, Mae Ka, Mueang, Phayao 56000, Thailand*

²*Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Government College (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Thanjavur 613005, Tamilnadu, India*

*Corresponding author: aiyared.ia@up.ac.th

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the notions of (l, r) -derivations, (r, l) -derivations, and derivations of Hilbert algebras and investigate some related properties. In addition, we define two subsets for a derivation d of a Hilbert algebra X , $\text{Ker } d(X)$ and $\text{Fix } d(X)$, and we also take a look at some of their characteristics.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Logic algebras are a significant class of algebras among several other algebraic structures. The concept of Hilbert algebras was introduced in early 50-ties by Henkin [9] for some investigations of implication in intuitionistic and other non-classical logics. In 60-ties, these algebras were studied especially by Diego [7] from algebraic point of view. Diego [7] proved that Hilbert algebras form a variety which is locally finite. Hilbert algebras were treated by Busneag [4, 5] and Jun [12] and some of their filters forming deductive systems were recognized.

The study of derivations has continued, for example, in 2021, Muangkarn et al. [14] studied f_q -derivations, and Bantaojai et al. [3] studied derivations induced by an endomorphism of B-algebras. In 2022, Bantaojai et al. [1, 2] studied derivations on d -algebras and B-algebras, and Muangkarn et al. [13, 15] studied derivations induced by an endomorphism of BG-algebras and d -algebras. Iampan et al. [10, 16, 17] studied derivations on UP-algebras.

Received: Feb. 21, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03G25.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert algebra; (l, r) -derivation; (r, l) -derivation; derivation.

The concepts of (l, r) -derivations, (r, l) -derivations, and derivations of Hilbert algebras are introduced in this work along with several related features. In addition, we define two subsets for a derivation d of a Hilbert algebra X , $\text{Ker } d(X)$ and $\text{Fix } d(X)$, and we also take a look at some of their characteristics.

Let's go through the idea of Hilbert algebras as it was introduced by Diego [7] in 1966 before we start.

Definition 1.1. [7] A Hilbert algebra is a triplet with the formula $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, where X is a nonempty set, \cdot is a binary operation, and 1 is a fixed member of X that is true according to the axioms stated below:

- (1) $(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot x) = 1)$,
- (2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)((x \cdot (y \cdot z)) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 1)$,
- (3) $(\forall x, y \in X)(x \cdot y = 1, y \cdot x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

In [8], the following conclusion was established.

Lemma 1.1. Let $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ be a Hilbert algebra. Then

- (1) $(\forall x \in X)(x \cdot x = 1)$,
- (2) $(\forall x \in X)(1 \cdot x = x)$,
- (3) $(\forall x \in X)(x \cdot 1 = 1)$,
- (4) $(\forall x, y, z \in X)(x \cdot (y \cdot z) = y \cdot (x \cdot z))$.

In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the binary relation \leq is defined by

$$(\forall x, y \in X)(x \leq y \Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = 1),$$

which is a partial order on X with 1 as the largest element.

Definition 1.2. [18] A nonempty subset D of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is called a subalgebra of X if $x \cdot y \in D$ for all $x, y \in D$.

Definition 1.3. [6] A nonempty subset D of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is called an ideal of X if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $1 \in D$,
- (2) $(\forall x, y \in X)(y \in D \Rightarrow x \cdot y \in D)$,
- (3) $(\forall x, y_1, y_2 \in X)(y_1, y_2 \in D \Rightarrow (y_1 \cdot (y_2 \cdot x)) \cdot x \in D)$.

For any x, y in a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, we define $x \vee y$ by $(y \cdot x) \cdot x$. Note that $x \vee y$ is an upper bound of x and y for all $x, y \in X$. A Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is said to be commutative [11]

if for all $x, y \in X$, $(y \cdot x) \cdot x = (x \cdot y) \cdot y$, that is, $x \vee y = y \vee x$. From [11], we know that

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \vee x = x),$$

$$(\forall x \in X)(x \vee 1 = 1 \vee x = 1).$$

2. Main Results

In this section, we introduce the notions of an (l, r) -derivation, an (r, l) -derivation and a derivation of a Hilbert algebra and study some of their basic properties. Finally, we define two subsets $\text{Ker } d(X)$ and $\text{Fix } d(X)$ for a derivation d of a Hilbert algebra X , and we consider some properties of these as well.

Definition 2.1. Let $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ be a Hilbert algebra. A self-map $d : X \rightarrow X$ is called an (l, r) -derivation of X if it satisfies the identity $d(x \cdot y) = (d(x) \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot d(y))$ for all $x, y \in X$. Similarly, a self-map $d : X \rightarrow X$ is called an (r, l) -derivation of X if it satisfies the identity $d(x \cdot y) = (x \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x) \cdot y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Moreover, if d is both an (l, r) -derivation and an (r, l) -derivation of X , it is called a derivation of X .

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a Hilbert algebra with a fixed element 1 and a binary operation \cdot defined by the following Cayley table:

\cdot	1	2	3	4
1	1	2	3	4
2	1	1	3	4
3	1	2	1	4
4	1	2	3	1

Define a self-map $d : X \rightarrow X$ by for any $x \in X$,

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq 2 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then d is a derivation of X .

Definition 2.2. An (l, r) -derivation (resp., (r, l) -derivation, derivation) d of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is said to be regular if $d(1) = 1$.

Theorem 2.1. In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) every (l, r) -derivation of X is regular,
- (2) every (r, l) -derivation of X is regular.

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Then $d(1) = d(1 \cdot 1) = (d(1) \cdot 1) \vee (1 \cdot d(1)) = 1 \vee d(1) = 1$. Hence d is regular.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Then $d(1) = d(1 \cdot 1) = (1 \cdot d(1)) \vee (d(1) \cdot 1) = d(1) \vee 1 = 1$. Hence d is regular. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Every derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is regular.*

Theorem 2.2. *In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $d(x) = x \vee d(x)$ for all $x \in X$,*
- (2) *if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $d(x) = d(x) \vee x$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Then for all $x \in X$, $d(x) = d(1 \cdot x) = (d(1) \cdot x) \vee (1 \cdot d(x)) = (1 \cdot x) \vee d(x) = x \vee d(x)$.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Then for all $x \in X$, $d(x) = d(1 \cdot x) = (1 \cdot d(x)) \vee (d(1) \cdot x) = d(x) \vee (1 \cdot x) = d(x) \vee x$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $d(x) \vee x = x \vee d(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Definition 2.3. *Let d be an (l, r) -derivation (resp., (r, l) -derivation, derivation) of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$. We define a subset $\text{Ker } d(X)$ of X by $\text{Ker } d(X) = \{x \in X : d(x) = 1\}$.*

Proposition 2.1. *Let d be an (l, r) -derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$. Then the following properties hold: for any $x, y \in X$,*

- (1) $x \leq d(x)$,
- (2) $d(x) \cdot y \leq d(x \cdot y)$,
- (3) $d(x \cdot d(x)) = 1$,
- (4) $d(d(x) \cdot x) = 1$,
- (5) $x \leq d(d(x))$.

Proof. (1) For all $x \in X$, $x \cdot d(x) = x \cdot (x \vee d(x)) = x \cdot ((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot x) = 1$. Hence $x \leq d(x)$.

(2) For all $x, y \in X$, $(d(x) \cdot y) \cdot d(x \cdot y) = (d(x) \cdot y) \cdot ((d(x) \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot d(y))) = (d(x) \cdot y) \cdot (((x \cdot d(y)) \cdot (d(x) \cdot y)) \cdot (d(x) \cdot y)) = 1$. Hence $d(x) \cdot y \leq d(x \cdot y)$.

(3) For all $x \in X$, $d(x \cdot d(x)) = (d(x) \cdot d(x)) \vee (x \cdot d(d(x))) = 1 \vee (x \cdot d(d(x))) = 1$.

(4) For all $x \in X$, $d(d(x) \cdot x) = (d(d(x)) \cdot x) \vee (d(x) \cdot d(x)) = (d(d(x)) \cdot x) \vee 1 = 1$.

(5) For all $x \in X$, $d(d(x)) = d(x \vee d(x)) = d((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot x) = (d(d(x) \cdot x) \cdot x) \vee ((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) = (1 \cdot x) \vee ((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) = x \vee ((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) = (((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) \cdot x) \cdot x$. Thus $x \cdot d(d(x)) = x \cdot (((d(x) \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) \cdot x) \cdot x = 1$. Hence $x \leq d(d(x))$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let d be an (r, l) -derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$. Then the following properties hold: for any $x, y \in X$,*

- (1) $x \cdot d(y) \leq d(x \cdot y)$,
- (2) $d(x \cdot d(x)) = 1$,

$$(3) \ d(d(x) \cdot x) = 1.$$

Proof. (1) For all $x, y \in X$, $(x \cdot d(y)) \cdot d(x \cdot y) = (x \cdot d(y)) \cdot ((x \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x) \cdot y)) = (x \cdot d(y)) \cdot (((d(x) \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot d(y))) \cdot (x \cdot d(y))) = 1$. Hence $x \cdot d(y) \leq d(x \cdot y)$.

$$(2) \text{ For all } x \in X, \ d(x \cdot d(x)) = (x \cdot d(d(x))) \vee (d(x) \cdot d(x)) = (x \cdot d(d(x))) \vee 1 = 1.$$

$$(3) \text{ For all } x \in X, \ d(d(x) \cdot x) = (d(x) \cdot d(x)) \vee (d(d(x)) \cdot x) = 1 \vee (d(d(x)) \cdot x) = 1. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3. *Let d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be (l, r) -derivations of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x \leq d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots)$ for all $x \in X$. In particular, if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $x \leq d_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$.*

Proof. For $n = 1$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 (1) that $x \leq d_1(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $x \leq d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots)$ for all $x \in X$. Let $D_n = d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n+1}(D_n) &= d_{n+1}(1 \cdot D_n) \\ &= (d_{n+1}(1) \cdot D_n) \vee (1 \cdot d_{n+1}(D_n)) \\ &= (1 \cdot D_n) \vee (1 \cdot d_{n+1}(D_n)) \\ &= D_n \vee d_{n+1}(D_n) \\ &= (d_{n+1}(D_n) \cdot D_n) \cdot D_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$D_n \cdot d_{n+1}(D_n) = D_n \cdot ((d_{n+1}(D_n) \cdot D_n) \cdot D_n) = 1.$$

Therefore, $D_n \leq d_{n+1}(D_n)$. By assumption, we get

$$x \leq D_n \leq d_{n+1}(D_n) = d_{n+1}(d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots))$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence $x \leq d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. In particular, put $d = d_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $x \leq d_n(d_{n-1}(\dots(d_2(d_1(x)))) \dots) = d_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. \square

Definition 2.4. *An ideal D of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is said to be invariant (with respect to an (l, r) -derivation (resp., (r, l) -derivation, derivation) d of X) if $d(D) \subseteq D$.*

Theorem 2.4. *Every ideal of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is invariant with respect to any (l, r) -derivation of X .*

Proof. Let D be an ideal of X and d an (l, r) -derivation of X . Let $y \in d(D)$. Then $y = d(x)$ for some $x \in D$. It follows that $y \cdot x = d(x) \cdot x = 1 \in D$, which implies $y \in D$. Thus $d(D) \subseteq D$. Hence D is invariant with respect to an (l, r) -derivation d of X . \square

Corollary 2.3. *Every ideal of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ is invariant with respect to any derivation of X .*

Theorem 2.5. In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $y \vee x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $x \in X$,
- (2) if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $y \vee x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Let $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $x \in X$. Then $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(y \vee x) = d((x \cdot y) \cdot y) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee ((x \cdot y) \cdot d(y)) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee ((x \cdot y) \cdot 1) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee 1 = 1$. Hence $y \vee x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Let $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $x \in X$. Then $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(y \vee x) = d((x \cdot y) \cdot y) = ((x \cdot y) \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = ((x \cdot y) \cdot 1) \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = 1 \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = 1$. Hence $y \vee x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. \square

Corollary 2.4. If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $y \vee x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Theorem 2.6. In a commutative Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X and for any $x, y \in X$ is such that $y \leq x$ and $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$, then $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$,
- (2) if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X and for any $x, y \in X$ is such that $y \leq x$ and $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$, then $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$.

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y \leq x$ and $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. Then $y \cdot x = 1$ and $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(x) = d(1 \cdot x) = d((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = d((x \cdot y) \cdot y) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee ((x \cdot y) \cdot d(y)) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee ((x \cdot y) \cdot 1) = (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) \vee 1 = 1$. Hence $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $y \leq x$ and $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. Then $y \cdot x = 1$ and $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(x) = d(1 \cdot x) = d((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = d((x \cdot y) \cdot y) = ((x \cdot y) \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = ((x \cdot y) \cdot 1) \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = 1 \vee (d(x \cdot y) \cdot y) = 1$. Hence $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. \square

Corollary 2.5. If d is a derivation of a commutative Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$ and for any $x, y \in X$ is such that $y \leq x$ and $y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$, then $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$.

Theorem 2.7. In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $y \cdot x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $y \in X$,
- (2) if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $y \cdot x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $y \in X$.

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Let $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $y \in X$. Then $d(x) = 1$. Thus $d(y \cdot x) = (d(y) \cdot x) \vee (y \cdot d(x)) = (d(y) \cdot x) \vee (y \cdot 1) = (d(y) \cdot x) \vee 1 = 1$. Hence $y \cdot x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Let $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $y \in X$. Then $d(x) = 1$. Thus $d(y \cdot x) = (y \cdot d(x)) \vee (d(y) \cdot x) = (y \cdot 1) \vee (d(y) \cdot x) = 1 \vee (d(y) \cdot x) = 1$. Hence $y \cdot x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. \square

Corollary 2.6. If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $y \cdot x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ for all $x \in \text{Ker } d(X)$ and $y \in X$.

Theorem 2.8. *In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $\text{Ker } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X ,*
- (2) *if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $\text{Ker } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . By Theorem 2.1 (1), we have $d(1) = 1$ and so $1 \in \text{Ker } d(X) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = 1$ and $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(x \cdot y) = (d(x) \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot d(y)) = (1 \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot 1) = y \vee 1 = 1$. Hence $x \cdot y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$, so $\text{Ker } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . By Theorem 2.1 (2), we have $d(1) = 1$ and so $1 \in \text{Ker } d(X) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = 1$ and $d(y) = 1$. Thus $d(x \cdot y) = (x \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x) \cdot y) = (x \cdot 1) \vee (1 \cdot y) = 1 \vee y = 1$. Hence $x \cdot y \in \text{Ker } d(X)$, so $\text{Ker } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X . \square

Corollary 2.7. *If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $\text{Ker } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Definition 2.5. *Let d be an (l, r) -derivation (resp., (r, l) -derivation, derivation) of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$. We define a subset $\text{Fix } d(X)$ of X by $\text{Fix } d(X) = \{x \in X : d(x) = x\}$.*

Theorem 2.9. *In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $\text{Fix } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X ,*
- (2) *if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $\text{Fix } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . By Theorem 2.1 (1), we have $d(1) = 1$ and so $1 \in \text{Fix } d(X) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = x$ and $d(y) = y$. Thus $d(x \cdot y) = (d(x) \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot d(y)) = (x \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot y) = x \cdot y$. Hence $x \cdot y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$, so $\text{Fix } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . By Theorem 2.1 (2), we have $d(1) = 1$ and so $1 \in \text{Fix } d(X) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = x$ and $d(y) = y$. Thus $d(x \cdot y) = (x \cdot d(y)) \vee (d(x) \cdot y) = (x \cdot y) \vee (x \cdot y) = x \cdot y$. Hence $x \cdot y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$, so $\text{Fix } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X . \square

Corollary 2.8. *If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $\text{Fix } d(X)$ is a subalgebra of X .*

Theorem 2.10. *In a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if d is an (l, r) -derivation of X , then $x \vee y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$,*
- (2) *if d is an (r, l) -derivation of X , then $x \vee y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$.*

Proof. (1) Assume that d is an (l, r) -derivation of X . Let $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = x$ and $d(y) = y$. By Theorem 2.9 (1), we get $d(y \cdot x) = y \cdot x$. Thus $d(x \vee y) = d((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = (d(y \cdot x) \cdot x) \vee ((y \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) = ((y \cdot x) \cdot x) \vee ((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x = x \vee y$. Hence $x \vee y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$.

(2) Assume that d is an (r, l) -derivation of X . Let $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$. Then $d(x) = x$ and $d(y) = y$. By Theorem 2.9 (2), we get $d(y \cdot x) = y \cdot x$. Thus $d(x \vee y) = d((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = ((y \cdot x) \cdot d(x)) \vee (d(y \cdot x) \cdot x) = ((y \cdot x) \cdot x) \vee ((y \cdot x) \cdot x) = (y \cdot x) \cdot x = x \vee y$. Hence $x \vee y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *If d is a derivation of a Hilbert algebra $X = (X, \cdot, 1)$, then $x \vee y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix } d(X)$.*

3. Conclusion

In this article, we introduced the ideas of (l, r) -derivations, (r, l) -derivations, and derivations of Hilbert algebras, and deduced their significant features. Additionally, two subsets $\text{Ker } d(X)$ and $\text{Fix } d(X)$ for a derivation d of a Hilbert algebra X are defined. As a result, we have found that $\text{Ker } d(X)$ and $\text{Fix } d(X)$ are subalgebras of X .

Acknowledgment: This research project was supported by the Thailand Science Research and Innovation Fund and the University of Phayao (Grant No. FF66-UoE017).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] T. Bantaojai, C. Suanoom, J. Phuto, A. lampan, A Bi-endomorphism Induces a New Type of Derivations on B-Algebras, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 48 (2022), 336-348.
- [2] T. Bantaojai, C. Suanoom, J. Phuto, A. lampan, A Novel Derivation Induced by Some Binary Operations on d -Algebras, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 17 (2022), 173-182.
- [3] T. Bantaojai, C. Suanoom, J. Phuto, A. lampan, New Derivations Utilizing Bi-endomorphisms on B-Algebras, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), 6420-6432. <https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/6376>.
- [4] D. Busneag, A Note on Deductive Systems of a Hilbert Algebra, Kobe J. Math. 2 (1985), 29-35. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570854175360486400>.
- [5] D. Busneag, Hilbert Algebras of Fractions and Maximal Hilbert Algebras of Quotients, Kobe J. Math. 5 (1988), 161-172. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1570572702603831808>.
- [6] I. Chajda, R. Halas, Congruences and Ideals in Hilbert Algebras, Kyungpook Math. J. 39 (1999), 429-429.
- [7] A. Diego, Sur les Algèbres de Hilbert, Collect. Logique Math. Ser. A (Ed. Hermann, Paris), 21 (1966), 1-52.
- [8] W.A. Dudek, On fuzzification in Hilbert Algebras, Contrib. Gen. Algebra, 11 (1999), 77-83.
- [9] L. Henkin, An Algebraic Characterization of Quantifiers, Fund. Math. 37 (1950), 63-74.
- [10] A. lampan, Derivations of UP-Algebras by Means of UP-Endomorphisms, Algebr. Struct. Appl. 3 (2016), 1-20.
- [11] Y.B. Jun, Commutative Hilbert Algebras, Soochow J. Math. 22 (1996), 477-484.
- [12] Y.B. Jun, Deductive Systems of Hilbert Algebras, Math. Japon. 43 (1996), 51-54. <https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1571417124616097792>.
- [13] P. Muangkarn, C. Suanoom, A. lampan, New Derivations of d -Algebras Based on Endomorphisms, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 17 (2022), 1025-1032.
- [14] P. Muangkarn, C. Suanoom, P. Pengyim, A. lampan, f_q -Derivations of B-Algebras, J. Math. Comput. Sci. 11 (2021), 2047-2057. <https://doi.org/10.28919/jmcs/5472>.
- [15] P. Muangkarn, C. Suanoom, A. Yodkheeree, A. lampan, Derivations Induced by an Endomorphism of BG-Algebras, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 17 (2022), 847-852.

-
- [16] K. Sawika, R. Intasan, A. Kaewwasri, A. Iampan, Derivations of UP-Algebras, *Korean J. Math.* 24 (2016), 345–367.
<https://doi.org/10.11568/KJM.2016.24.3.345>.
- [17] T. Tippanya, N. Iam-Art, P. Moonfong, A. Iampan, A New Derivation of UP-Algebras by Means of UP-Endomorphisms, *Algebra Lett.* 2017 (2017), 4.
- [18] J. Zhan, Z. Tan, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Deductive Systems in Hilbert Algebra, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 29 (2005), 813-826.