

**Some Results of Malcev-Neumann Rings****Kholood Alnefaie<sup>1</sup>, Eltiyeb Ali<sup>2,3,\*</sup>**<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Taibah University, Madinah 42353, Saudi Arabia*<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, University of Khartoum, Sudan*<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, Najran University, Saudi Arabia*

\*Corresponding author: eltiyeb76@gmail.com

**Abstract.** Let us consider the function  $\sigma$ , which maps elements from the group  $G$  to the group of automorphisms of the ring  $R$ . In this paper, we are studying new conditions under which the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R * ((G))$  is a *PS*, *APP*, *PF*, *PP*, and a Zip rings, respectively. It has been demonstrated that if  $R$  is a reduced ring and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid, then the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R * ((G))$  over a *PS*-ring is a *PS*. Furthermore, if  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid and the ring  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left annihilators, then the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R * ((G))$  is a right *APP*-ring if and only if, for any  $G$ -indexed generated right ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $r_R(A)$  is left  $s$ -unital. Additionally, we have proven that if  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid, then the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R * ((G))$  is a *PF* ring if and only if, for any two  $G$ -indexed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq \text{ann}_R(A)$ , there exists  $c \in \text{ann}_R(A)$  such that  $bc = b$  for all  $b \in B$ . These results extend the corresponding findings for polynomial rings and Laurent power series rings.

**1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES**

The Malcev-Neumann construction appeared for the first time in the latter part of the 1940's (the Laurent series ring, a particular case of Malcev-Neumann ring, was used before by Hilbert). Using them, Malcev and Neumann independently showed (in 1948 and 1949 resp.) that the group ring of an ordered group over a division ring can be embedded in a division ring. Since then, the construction has appeared in many papers, mainly in the study of various properties of division rings and related topics. For instance, Makar-Limanov in [1] used a particular skew-Laurent series division ring to prove that the skew field of fractions of the first Weyl algebra contains a free noncommutative subalgebra. The study of Malcev-Neumann group ring over arbitrary rings was initiated in [2] by Lorenz while investigating properties of group algebras of nilpotent groups. Other results on Malcev-Neumann rings can be found in Musson and Stafford [3] and Sonin [4].

Received: Dec. 24, 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 16W60, 16S50, 16S99.*Key words and phrases*. Malcev-Neumann ring; *PS*-ring; *APP*-ring; *PF*-ring; Zip-ring.

We construct the Malcev-Neumann (group) ring in the following. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group, and suppose that  $\sigma$  is a map from  $G$  into the group of automorphisms of  $R$ ,  $x \rightarrow \sigma_x$ ,  $t$  is a map from  $G \times G$  to  $U(R)$ , the group of invertible elements of  $R$ . Then we can form a Malcev-Neumann ring  $R^*((G))$ : an element of  $R^*((G))$  is a infinite series  $f = \sum_{x \in G} r_x x$  with  $r_x \in R$  such that the set  $\text{supp}(f) = \{x \in G \mid r_x \neq 0\}$ , called the support of  $f$ , is a well ordered subset of  $G$ , and the ring structure is given by componentwise addition defined as usual by

$$\sum_{x \in G} a_x x + \sum_{y \in G} b_y y = \sum_{z \in G} (a_z + b_z) z$$

and multiplication is defined by

$$\left( \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \right) \left( \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \right) = \sum_{z \in G} \left( \sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=z\}} a_x \sigma_x(b_y) t(x, y) \right) z.$$

In order to insure associativity, it is necessary to impose two additional conditions on  $\sigma$  and  $t$ , namely that for all  $x, y, z \in G$ ,

- (1)  $t(xy, z) \sigma_z(t(x, y)) = t(x, yz) t(y, z)$ ,
- (2)  $\sigma_y \sigma_z = \sigma_{yz} \delta(y, z)$ ,

where  $\delta(y, z)$  denotes the automorphism of  $R$  induced by the unit  $t(y, z)$  by Lemma 1.1 [5]. It is now routine to check that  $R^*((G))$  is a ring which we call the Malcev-Neumann ring. This construction has appeared in many papers, mainly in the study various properties of division rings and related topic. For a more comprehensive understanding of this construction and the results associated with it, it is recommended to refer to several scholarly papers on the topic [3], [6], [9], [10], [15] and [16]. The subring of  $R^*((G))$  consisting of all finite sums  $f = \sum_{x \in G} r_x x$  (i.e., sums of finite support) is just the twisted group ring  $R^*(G)$ . If  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\sigma_x = id$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $t(x, y) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , then  $R^*((G))$ , is the Laurent series ring. If  $\sigma$  happens to be the trivial homomorphism and  $t(x, y) = 1$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , the resulting untwisted ring will denoted by  $R((G))$ . As usual, we shall identify  $R$  with the subring  $R.1 \subseteq R^*((G))$  and identity  $G$  with the subgroup  $1.G$  of invertible elements in  $R^*((G))$ .

In this paper, we are studying new conditions under which the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R^*((G))$  is a *PS*, *APP*, *PF*, *PP* and a *Zip* rings, respectively. We prove that, if the ring  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left annihilators, then the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R^*((G))$  is a right *APP*-ring if and only if, for any  $G$ -indexed generated right ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $r_R(A)$  is left *s*-unital. Furthermore, we have proven that if  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid, then the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R^*((G))$  is a *PF* ring if and only if, for any two  $G$ -indexed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq \text{ann}_R(A)$ , there exists  $c \in \text{ann}_R(A)$  such that  $bc = b$  for all  $b \in B$ . Additionally, we prove that if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring, then  $R^*((G))$  is a *PP* ring if and only if  $R$  is a *PP* ring, and the Malcev-Neumann ring  $R^*((G))$  is a right zip ring if and only if  $R$  is a right zip ring. These results extend the corresponding findings for polynomial rings and Laurent power series rings.

Throughout the paper all rings are associative with unity. For a nonempty subset  $X$  of a ring  $R$ ,  $r_R(X)$  and  $l_R(X)$  denote the right and left annihilators of  $X$  in  $R$ , respectively. We will denote by

$End(R)$  the monoid of ring endomorphisms of  $R$ , and by  $Aut(R)$  the group of ring automorphisms of  $R$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

A ring  $R$  is called a left  $PS$ -ring if  $Soc({}_R R)$  is projective. In [12] it was proved that if  $R$  is a left  $PS$ -ring then so is  $R[[x]]$ . Xue in [13] showed that for any ring  $R$ ,  $R[[x]]$  is a left  $PS$ -ring. If  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $(S, \leq)$  is a strictly totally ordered monoid which satisfies the condition that  $0 \leq s$  for every  $s \in S$ , then in [14], it was proved that, if  $R$  is  $PS$ -ring, then the ring  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  of generalized power series over  $R$  is a  $PS$ -ring. Firstly, we will consider the  $PS$  property of Malcev-Neumann rings.

Let  $\sigma$  be a map from  $G$  into the group of automorphisms of  $R$ ,  $x \mapsto \sigma_x$ . Then, following Definition 2.1 [8],  $\sigma$  is called weakly rigid if  $ab = 0$  implies  $a\sigma_x(b) = \sigma_x(a)b = 0$  for any  $a, b \in R$  and any  $x \in G$ . Clearly, if for any  $x \in G$ ,  $\sigma_x = id$ , the identity map of  $R$ , then  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Let  $\alpha$  be an endomorphism of  $R$ . According to [19],  $\alpha$  is called a rigid endomorphism if  $r\alpha(r) = 0$  implies  $r = 0$  for  $r \in R$ . A ring  $R$  is called  $\alpha$ -rigid if there exists a rigid endomorphism  $\alpha$  of  $R$ . Clearly any rigid endomorphism is a monomorphism and any  $\alpha$ -rigid is reduced. Let  $\alpha$  be a rigid automorphism of  $R$ . It was shown in [19] that if  $ab = 0$  then  $a\alpha^n(b) = \alpha^n(a)b = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Thus the map  $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Aut(R) : \sigma(x) = \alpha^x$  is weakly rigid. For more details and examples see [7], [8] and [18].

The following results appeared in [8] and [12] respectively.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be reduced and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R * ((G))$  are such that  $fg = 0$ , then  $a_x b_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring  $R$  :*

- (1)  $R$  is a right  $PS$ -ring.
- (2) For any maximal right ideal  $L$  of  $R$  then either  $l_R(L) = 0$  or  $L = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $R$  is a right  $PS$ -ring, then so is  $R * ((G))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a maximal right ideal of  $R * ((G))$ . By Lemma 2.2, it is enough to show that either  $l_{R * ((G))}(L) = 0$  or  $L = \alpha R * ((G))$  for some  $\alpha^2 = \alpha \in R * ((G))$ . Let  $I$  be the set of all coefficients of 1 of elements of  $L$ . Let  $J$  be the right ideal of  $R$  generated by  $I$ . If  $J = R$ , then there exist  $a_1^1, a_1^2, \dots, a_1^n \in I, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L$  and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that  $1 = a_1^1 r_1 + a_1^2 r_2 + \dots + a_1^n r_n$  with  $f_i = \sum_{x \in G} a_x^i x, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in l_{R * ((G))}(L)$ . Then  $g f_i = 0$ . Thus  $b_y a_x^i = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Particularly,  $b_y a_1^i = 0$  for any  $y \in G$  and any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $b_y = b_y (a_1^1 r_1 + a_1^2 r_2 + \dots + a_1^n r_n) = 0$ , and so  $g = 0$ . Thus  $l_{R * ((G))}(L) = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $J \neq R$ . We show that  $J$  is a maximal right ideal of  $R$ . Let  $r \in R - J$ . Then  $r \in R * ((G))$ . If  $r \in L$ , then  $r \in J$ , a contradiction. Thus  $r \notin L$ . So  $R * ((G)) = L + r R * ((G))$ . It follows that there exist  $f \in L$  and  $h \in R * ((G))$  such that  $1 = f + rh$ . Suppose that  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x$  and

$h = \sum_{y \in G} c_y y$ . Then  $1 = a_1 + r\sigma_1(c_1)t(1, 1) \in J + rR$ . Thus  $R = J + rR$ . Hence  $J$  is a maximal right ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is a right PS-ring, it follows that either  $l_R(J) = 0$  or there exists an  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $J = eR$ .

**Case (i).** Suppose that  $l_R(J) = 0$ . We will show that  $l_{R*((G))}(L) = 0$ . Let  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in l_{R*((G))}(L), r \in J$ . Then there exist  $a_1^1, a_1^2, \dots, a_1^n \in I, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in L$  and  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that  $r = a_1^1 r_1 + a_1^2 r_2 + \dots + a_1^n r_n$ , where  $a_1^i$  is the constant coefficient of  $f_i$ . Since  $g \in l_{R*((G))}(L), gf_i = 0$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By Lemma 2.1, we have  $b_y a_1^i = 0$  for any  $y \in G$  and any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus  $b_y r = b_y(a_1^1 r_1 + a_1^2 r_2 + \dots + a_1^n r_n) = 0$  for any  $y \in G$ . This means that  $b_y \in l_R(J) = 0$  for any  $y \in G$ . Thus  $g = 0$ , and so  $l_{R*((G))}(L) = 0$ .

**Case (ii).** Suppose that  $J = eR$  where  $e^2 = e \in R$ . We will show that  $L = e(R*((G)))$ . If  $e \notin L$ , then  $R*((G)) = L + e(R*((G)))$ . Thus  $1 = f + eh$ , where  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in L$  and  $h = \sum_{y \in G} c_y y \in R*((G))$ , and so  $1 = a_1 + e\sigma_1(c_1)t(1, 1) \in J + eR = J$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $e \in L$ , and so  $e(R*((G))) \subseteq L$ . Conversely, suppose that  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in L$ . For any  $x \in G$ , there exists  $x^{-1} \in G$  such that  $xx^{-1} = 1$  since  $G$  is a group, and  $fx^{-1} \in L$  since  $L$  is a right ideal of  $R*((G))$ . Thus  $a_x \sigma_x(1)t(x, x^{-1}) \in J = eR$  for any  $x \in G$ . Thus  $a_x \in J = eR$  since  $t(x, x^{-1})$  is invertible and  $J$  is a right ideal of  $R$ , and so  $a_x = ea_x$ . Thus  $f = e \sum_{x \in G} \sigma_1^{-1}(a_x t(1, x)^{-1})x \in e(R*((G)))$ . Thus  $L \subseteq e(R*((G)))$ . Hence  $L = e(R*((G)))$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $R$  be a reduced ring and  $G$  an ordered group. If  $R$  is a right PS-ring, then  $R((G))$  is a right PS-ring.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $R$  be a reduced ring and  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid automorphism of  $R$ . If  $R$  is a PS-ring, then  $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  is a PS-ring.

*Proof.* Take  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t(x, y) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\sigma_x = \alpha^x$ . Then  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called (resp., quasi-) Baer if the right annihilator of every (resp., right ideal) nonempty subset of  $R$  is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent of  $R$ . In [30] Kaplansky introduced Baer rings to abstract various properties of  $AW^*$ -algebras, von Neumann algebras and complete  $*$ -regular rings. In [33] Clark defined quasi-Baer rings and used them to characterize when a finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. A ring  $R$  is called a right (resp., left) *PP*-ring if every principal right (resp., left) ideal is projective (equivalently, if the right (resp., left) annihilator of an element of  $R$  is generated (as a right (resp., left) ideal) by an idempotent of  $R$ ).  $R$  is called a *PP*-ring (also called a Rickart ring [22, p. 18]) if it is both right and left *PP*. A ring  $R$  is called left (resp., right) principally quasi-Baer (or simply left (resp., right) *p.q.*-Baer) if the left (resp., right) annihilator of a principal left (resp., right) ideal is generated as a left (resp., right) ideal by an idempotent. Equivalently,  $R$  is right *p.q.*-Baer if  $R$  modulo the right annihilator of any principal right ideal is projective. A ring is called *p.q.*-Baer if it is both right and left *p.q.*-Baer. The concept of principally quasi-Baer rings initiated by Birkenmeier, Kim and Park [25]. Following Tominaga [28],

an ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is said to be right  $s$ -unital if, for each  $a \in I$  there exists an element  $x \in I$  such that  $ax = a$ . A submodule  $N$  of a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a pure submodule if  $L \otimes_R N \rightarrow L \otimes_R M$  is a monomorphism for every right  $R$ -module  $L$ . By [21, Proposition 11.3.13], an ideal  $I$  is right  $s$ -unital if and only if  $I$  is pure as a left ideal of  $R$  if and only if  $R/I$  is flat as a left  $R$ -module. According to Liu and Zhao [35], a ring  $R$  is called left  $APP$  if  $R$  has the property that “the left annihilator of a principal ideal is pure as a left ideal”. Equivalently,  $R$  is a left  $APP$ -ring if  $R$  modulo the left annihilator of any principal left ideal is flat. Right  $APP$ -ring is also defined analogously. A ring is called  $APP$  if it is right  $APP$  and left  $APP$ . By Proposition 2.3 [35], the class of right  $APP$ -rings includes both left  $PP$ -rings and right  $p.q.$ -Baer rings (and hence it includes all biregular rings and all quasi-Baer rings), for some details to use this conditions see [11] and [17]. In [20] the authors showed that left  $p.q.$ -Baer rings are also right  $APP$  and provided various examples of commutative  $APP$ -rings which are neither  $p.q.$ -Baer nor  $PP$ .

Liu and Zhao Proposition 3.14 [35] proved that, when  $R$  is a ring satisfying descending chain condition on left and right annihilators and  $R$  is left  $APP$ , then  $R[[x]]$  is left  $APP$ . Zhao Theorem 3 [32] showed that, if  $(S, \leq)$  is a strictly totally ordered commutative monoid,  $\omega : S \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$  a monoid homomorphism and  $R$  satisfying descending chain condition on right annihilators, then the skew generalized power series ring  $R[[S^{\leq}, \omega]]$  is left  $APP$  if and only if for any  $S$ -indexed subset  $A$  of  $R$ , the left annihilator of the left ideal generated by the set  $\{\omega_s(a) \mid a \in A \text{ and } s \in S\}$  is right  $s$ -unital. Now we consider the  $APP$ -property of Malcev-Neumann rings.

The following result follows from Tominaga Theorem 1 [28].

**Lemma 2.6.** *An ideal  $J$  of a ring  $R$  is left (resp., right)  $s$ -unital if and only if for any finitely many elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in J$ , there is an element  $e \in J$  such that  $a_i = ea_i$  (resp.,  $a_i = a_i e$ ), for each  $i$ .*

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $R$  is a right  $APP$ -ring, then for any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R^*((G))$ ,  $fR^*((G))g = 0$  implies  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq f \in R^*((G))$  and  $0 \neq g \in R^*((G))$  be such that  $fR^*((G))g = 0$ . Then for any  $r \in R$ , from

$$0 = frg = \sum_{z \in G} \sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=z\}} a_x \sigma_x(r \sigma_1(b_y) t(1, y)) t(x, y) z$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=z\}} a_x \sigma_x(r \sigma_1(b_y) t(1, y)) t(x, y) = 0, \forall z \in G.$$

Let  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  denote the minimal elements of  $\text{supp}(f)$  and  $\text{supp}(g)$  in the  $\leq$  order, respectively. If  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$  are such that  $xy = x_0 y_0$ , then  $x_0 \leq x$  and  $y_0 \leq y$ . If  $x_0 < x$ , then  $x_0 y_0 < x y_0 \leq xy = x_0 y_0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x = x_0$ . Similarly,  $y = y_0$ . Hence

$$\sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=x_0 y_0\}} a_x \sigma_x(r \sigma_1(b_y) t(1, y)) t(x, y) = a_{x_0} \sigma_{x_0}(r \sigma_1(b_{y_0}) t(1, y_0)) t(x_0, y_0) = 0.$$

Thus  $a_{x_0}\sigma_{x_0}(r\sigma_1(b_{y_0})t(1, y_0)) = 0$  since  $t(x_0, y_0)$  is invertible. Hence, by weakly rigidness of  $\sigma$  we have  $\sigma_{x_0}(a_{x_0}r\sigma_1(b_{y_0})t(1, y_0)) = 0$ , so  $a_{x_0}r\sigma_1(b_{y_0})t(1, y_0) = 0$  since  $\sigma_{x_0} \in \text{Aut}(R)$ . By the way as above, we can get  $a_{x_0}rb_{y_0} = 0$ , which means that  $a_{x_0}Rb_{y_0} = 0$ .

Now suppose that  $w \in G$  is such that for any  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$  with  $xy < w$ ,  $a_xRb_y = 0$ . We will show that  $a_xRb_y = 0$  for any  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$  with  $xy = w$ . If there are not  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$  such that  $xy = w$ , then clearly the conclusion holds. Now suppose that  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$  and  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$  are such that  $xy = w$ . For convenience we write  $\{(x, y) \mid xy = w\}$  as  $\{(x_i, y_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ . Then for any  $r \in R$ , from

$$\sum_{\{(x,y)|xy=w\}} a_x\sigma_x(r\sigma_1(b_y)t(1, y))t(x, y) = 0$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))t(x_i, y_i) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that  $x_1y_i < x_iy_i = \omega$  for any  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . By the hypothesis, we have  $a_{x_1}Rb_{y_i} = 0$  for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Since  $R$  is right APP, by Lemma 2.6, there exists  $e_{x_1} \in r_R(a_{x_1}R)$  such that  $b_{y_i} = e_{x_1}b_{y_i}$  for any  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Let  $r' \in R$  and take  $r = r'e_{x_1}$  in Eq. (2.1). Thus from  $a_{x_1}r'e_{x_1} = 0$  it follows that  $a_{x_1}\sigma_{x_1}(r'e_{x_1}\sigma_1(b_{y_1})t(1, y_1)) = 0$  since  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Hence

$$\sum_{i=2}^n a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r'e_{x_1}\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))t(x_i, y_i) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

On the other hand, since  $b_{y_i} = e_{x_1}b_{y_i}$  for any  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid, one gets  $a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r'(1 - e_{x_1})\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i)) = 0$  and so

$$a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r'e_{x_1}\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i)) = a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r'\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))$$

for all  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . Therefore Eq. (2.2) becomes

$$\sum_{i=2}^n a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(r'\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))t(x_i, y_i) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $x_2y_i < x_iy_i = \omega$  for  $i = 3, 4, \dots, n$ , by the hypothesis, there exists  $e_{x_2} \in r_R(a_{x_2}R)$  such that  $b_{y_i} = e_{x_2}b_{y_i}$  for each  $i \geq 3$ . So if we take  $r' = pe_{x_2}$  in Eq. (2.3), we have

$$a_{x_2}\sigma_{x_2}(pe_{x_2}\sigma_1(b_{y_2})t(1, y_2)) = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=3}^n a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(pe_{x_2}\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))t(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=3}^n a_{x_i}\sigma_{x_i}(p\sigma_1(b_{y_i})t(1, y_i))t(x_i, y_i) = 0.$$

Continuing in this manner yields that  $a_{x_n}\sigma_{x_n}(q\sigma_1(b_{y_n})t(1, y_n))t(x_n, y_n) = 0$ , where  $q$  is an arbitrary element of  $R$ . Consequently,  $a_{x_n}qb_{y_n} = 0$ . Hence  $a_{x_{n-1}}qb_{y_{n-1}} = 0, \dots, a_{x_1}qb_{y_1} = 0$ . Therefore, by transfinite induction, we have shown that  $a_xRb_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) For any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R^*((G)), fR^*((G))g = 0$  implies  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$ .
- (2) For any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in R^*((G)), r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G))) = r_R(I)^*((G)),$  where  $I$  is the right ideal of  $R$  generated by  $\{a_x \mid x \in G\}$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G)))$  with  $f \in R^*((G))$ . By (1),  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ . Thus  $b_y \in r_R(I)$ , and so  $g \in r_R(I)^*((G))$ . Hence  $r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G))) \subseteq r_R(I)^*((G))$ . Conversely, suppose that  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in r_R(I)^*((G))$ . Then  $b_y \in r_R(I)$  for all  $y \in G$ . Thus  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ . Since  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly rigid, then for any  $h = \sum_{z \in G} c_z z \in R^*((G))$ , we have  $a_x \sigma_x(c_z) \sigma_x \sigma_z(b_y) = 0$  for any  $x, y, z \in G$ . Thus,  $a_x \sigma_x(c_z) \sigma_x \sigma_z(b_y) \sigma_x(t(z, y))t(x, p) = 0$  for any  $x, y, z, p \in G$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} fhg &= \left( \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \right) \left( \sum_{p \in G} \sum_{\{(z,y) \mid zy=p\}} c_z \sigma_z(b_y) t(z, y) p \right) \\ &= \sum_{q \in G} \sum_{\{(x,p) \mid xp=q\}} \sum_{\{(z,y) \mid zy=p\}} a_x \sigma_x(c_z) \sigma_x \sigma_z(b_y) \sigma_x(t(z, y)) t(x, p) q = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $g \in r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G)))$ . So  $r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G))) = r_R(I)^*((G))$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose that  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y$  in  $R^*((G))$  are such that  $fR^*((G))g = 0$ . Thus  $g \in r_{R^*((G))}(fR^*((G)))$ . By (2)  $g \in r_R(I)^*((G))$ , where  $I$  be the right ideal of  $R$  generated by  $\{a_x \mid x \in G\}$ . Hence  $b_y \in r_R(I)$ . So  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . □

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Then for any  $a \in R, r_R(aR)^*((G)) = r_{R^*((G))}(aR^*((G)))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in r_{R^*((G))}(aR^*((G)))$ . Then for any  $r \in R, ar\sigma_1(b_y)t(1, y) = 0$ . Thus  $arb_y = 0$  since  $t(1, y)$  is invertible and  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly rigid. Hence  $b_y \in r_R(aR)$ . So  $g \in r_R(aR)^*((G))$ . Conversely, suppose that  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in r_R(aR)^*((G))$ . Then  $aRb_y = 0$ . Hence for any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} c_x x \in R^*((G)),$

$$a\sigma_1(c_x)t(1, x)\sigma_x(b_y)t(x, y) = 0.$$

Thus

$$afg = \sum_{z \in G} \sum_{\{(x,y) \mid xy=z\}} a\sigma_1(c_x)t(1, x)\sigma_x(b_y)t(x, y)z = 0.$$

Hence  $g \in r_{R^*((G))}(aR^*((G)))$ . So,  $r_R(aR)^*((G)) = r_{R^*((G))}(aR^*((G)))$ . □

In order to prove the main result, we first give the necessity of the ring  $R^*((G))$  to be right APP-ring.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $R^*((G))$  is a right APP-ring, then  $R$  is a right APP-ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in R$  be such that  $a \in r_R(bR)$ . Then  $a \in r_R((bR)^*((G)))$ . By Lemma 2.9,  $a \in r_{R^*((G))}(bR^*((G)))$ . Since  $R^*((G))$  is right APP, then there exists an  $f = \sum_{x \in G} c_x x \in r_{R^*((G))}(bR^*((G)))$  such that  $a = fa$ . Thus  $brf = 0$  for any  $r \in R$ . Hence  $br\sigma_1(c_x)t(1, x) = 0$ , and so  $brc_x = 0$  for any

$x \in G$ . In particular,  $c_1 \in r_R(bR)$ . On the other hand, for  $a = fa$  it follows that  $(1 - f)a = 0$ . Thus,  $(1 - c_1)\sigma_1(a)t(1, 1) = 0$ , and so  $a = c_1a$ . Therefore  $R$  is a right APP-ring.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  an ordered group. We say a nonempty subset  $X$  of  $R$  is  $G$ -indexed, if there exists a well-ordered subset  $I$  of  $G$  such that  $X$  is indexed by  $I$ . We say an ideal  $J$  of  $R$  is  $G$ -indexed left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital if for any  $G$ -indexed subset  $\{a_s \mid s \in I\}$  of  $J$ , there exist a  $c \in J$  such that  $a_s = ca_s$  (resp.,  $a_s = a_sc$ ).

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  an ordered group. If  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left (resp. right) annihilators, then for any ideal  $J$  of  $R$ ,  $J$  is left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital if and only if  $J$  is  $G$ -indexed left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital.*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ ). Obviously since any singleton is  $G$ -indexed.

$\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $J$  be a left  $s$ -unital ideal of  $R$  and  $A = \{a_x \mid x \in I\}$  a  $G$ -indexed subset of  $J$ . Define a set of left annihilators

$$H = \{l_R(X) \mid X \subseteq A, |X| < \infty\}.$$

Since  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left annihilators,  $H$  has a minimal element, say  $l_R(X_0)$ . Assume that  $X_0 = \{a_{x_1}, a_{x_2}, \dots, a_{x_n}\}$ . Since  $J$  is left  $s$ -unital, by Lemma 2.6, there exists  $c \in J$  such that  $a_{x_i} = ca_{x_i}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . So  $(1 - c) \in l_R(X_0)$ . If there exists  $a_x \in A \setminus X_0$ . Then by the minimality of  $l_R(X_0)$ , we have  $l_R(a_x, a_{x_1}, \dots, a_{x_n}) = l_R(X_0)$ . Thus  $a_x = ca_x$ . This implies that  $a_x = ca_x$  for any  $a_x \in A$ . Therefore  $J$  is a  $G$ -indexed left  $s$ -unital ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $G$  be an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left annihilators, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R * ((G))$  is a right APP.
- (2) For any  $G$ -indexed generated right ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $r_R(A)$  is left  $s$ -unital.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let  $A = \sum_{x \in I} a_x R$ , where  $I$  is well-ordered subset of  $G$ . Define  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in R * ((G))$ , where  $a_x = 0$  if  $x \in G \setminus I$ . Since  $R * ((G))$  is right APP, by Proposition 2.10,  $R$  is APP. Thus,  $r_{R * ((G))}(fR * ((G))) = r_R(A) * ((G))$  by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8. Hence, by (1)  $r_R(A) * ((G))$  is left  $s$ -unital. Now we prove  $r_R(A)$  is left  $S$ -unital.

Let  $b \in r_R(A)$ . Then  $b \in r_R(A) * ((G))$ . Thus there exists an  $h = \sum_{z \in G} c_z z \in r_R(A) * ((G))$  such that  $b = hb$ . Consequently,  $c_1 \in r_R(A)$  and  $b = c_1 b$ . Hence  $r_R(A)$  is left  $s$ -unital.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R * ((G))$  be such that  $g \in r_{R * ((G))}(fR * ((G)))$ . Then, by (2) and Lemma 2.7, we have  $a_x R b_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$ . Thus  $b_y \in r_R(\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(f)} a_x R)$  for any  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ . By (2),  $r_R(\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(f)} a_x R)$  is left  $s$ -unital. So  $r_R(\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(f)} a_x R)$  is  $G$ -indexed left  $s$ -unital by Lemma 2.11. Hence There exists  $c \in r_R(\sum_{x \in \text{supp}(f)} a_x R)$  such that  $b_y = c b_y$  for any  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ .

Now for any  $h = \sum_{r \in G} r_z z \in R * ((G))$ ,

$$fhc = \sum_{q \in G} \sum_{\{(x,z) \mid xz=q\}} a_x \sigma_x(r_z) t(x, z) \sigma_z(c) t(z, 1) q = 0,$$



and from  $b_y = cb_y$  it follows that  $(1 - c)\sigma_1(b_y) = 0$  for any  $b \in G$  since  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid, so

$$(1 - c)g = \sum_{y \in G} (1 - c)\sigma_1(b_y)t(1, y)y = 0,$$

which imply that  $c \in r_{R*((G))}(fR*((G)))$  and  $g = c_g g$ . Hence  $R*((G))$  is right APP.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  an ordered group. If  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on left annihilators, then  $R((G))$  is right APP if and only if for any  $G$ -indexed generated right ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $r_R(A)$  is left  $s$ -unital.*

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. Then  $R$  is called a *PF*-ring (resp., *PP*-ring) if every principal ideal of  $R$  is a flat (resp., projective)  $R$ -module. It is well-known that if  $R$  is Noetherian, then these two notions are equal (see Corollary 4.3 [24]). It is proved in [26] that a ring  $R$  is a *PF*-ring if and only if the annihilator of each element  $r \in R$ ,  $ann_R(r)$  is a pure ideal, that is, for all  $b \in ann_R(r)$  there exists  $c \in ann_R(r)$  such that  $bc = b$ . Also proved that in [27], the power series ring  $R[[X]]$  is a *PF*-ring if and only if for any two countable subsets  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots\}$  and  $B = \{b_0, b_1, \dots\}$  of  $R$  such that  $A \subseteq ann_R(B)$ , there exists  $r \in ann_R(B)$  such that  $ar = a$  for all  $a \in A$ . J. Kim Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 [31] proved that for a Noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $R[[X]]$  is a *PF* (resp., *PP*) ring if and only if  $R$  is a *PF* (resp., *PP*) ring. Liu and Ahsan proved in [34] that the ring  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  of generalized power series is a *PP*-ring if and only if  $R$  is a *PP*-ring and every  $S$ -indexed subset  $C$  of  $B(R)$  (the set of all idempotents of  $R$ ) has a least upper bound in  $B(R)$ . Also in [29], it was proved that, if  $R$  is a commutative ring with identity and  $(S, \leq)$  is a strictly totally ordered monoid, then the ring  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  of generalized power series is a *PF*-ring if and only if for any two  $S$ -indexed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq ann_R(A)$ , there exists  $c \in ann_R(A)$  such that  $bc = b$  for all  $b \in B$ , and that for a Noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$  is a *PP* ring if and only if  $R$  is a *PP*-ring. Under some conditions, *PF* (resp., *PP*) properties of Malcev-Neumann rings we have the following.

**Lemma 2.14.** [27, Lemma 1]. *Any *PF*-ring is reduced.*

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $G$  an ordered group. Then  $R*((G))$  is a *PF*-ring if and only if for any two  $G$ -indexed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq ann_R(A)$ , there exists  $c \in ann_R(A)$  such that  $bc = b$  for all  $b \in B$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  Let  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x, g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R*((G))$  and let  $g \in ann_{R*((G))}(f)$ . Then

$$0 = gf = \sum_{z \in G} \sum_{\{(y,x)|yx=z\}} b_y \sigma_y(a_x)t(y, x)z.$$

Note that, in particular,  $R$  is a *PF*-ring, so by Lemma 2.14,  $R$  is reduced. Thus by Lemma 2.1,  $b_y a_x = 0$  for all  $x, y \in G$ . Let  $A = \{a_x \mid x \in supp(f)\}$  and  $B = \{b_y \mid y \in supp(g)\}$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  are  $G$ -indexed and  $B \subseteq ann_R(A)$ . So by hypothesis, there exists  $c \in ann_R(A)$  such that  $b_y c = b_y$  for all  $y \in G$ . So  $c \sigma_x(a_x)t(1, x) = 0$  for any  $x \in G$  and  $b_y \sigma_y(1 - c)t(y, 1) = 0$  for any  $y \in G$ . Thus

$$cf = \sum_{x \in G} c \sigma_x(a_x)t(1, x)x = 0$$

and

$$g(1-c) = \sum_{y \in G} b_y \sigma_y(1-c)t(y,1)y = 0,$$

which implies that  $c \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(f)$  and  $gc = g$ . Therefore  $R^*((G))$  is a PF-ring.

$\Rightarrow$ ) Assume that  $R^*((G))$  is a PF-ring. Let  $A = \{a_x \mid x \in I\}, B = \{b_y \mid y \in J\}$  be two  $G$ -indexed subsets of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq \text{ann}_R(A)$ , where  $I$  and  $J$  are well-ordered subsets of  $G$ . Define  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in R^*((G))$ , where  $a_x = 0$  if  $x \in G \setminus I$ , and  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R^*((G))$ , where  $b_y = 0$  if  $y \in G \setminus J$ . Then

$$gf = \sum_{z \in G} \sum_{\{(y,x) \mid yx=z\}} b_y \sigma_y(a_x)t(y,x)z = 0.$$

Therefore  $g \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(f)$ . Thus by the assumption, there exists  $h = \sum_{u \in G} d_u u \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(f)$  such that  $gh = g$ . Therefore we have  $0 = hf$  and  $0 = g(h-1)$ . Since, by Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.1,  $R$  is reduced,  $d_u a_x = 0$  for any  $u, x \in G$ , and  $b_y(d_1 - 1) = 0$  for any  $y \in G$ . So  $d_1 \in \text{ann}_R(A)$  and  $bd_1 = b$  for all  $b \in B$ . Therefore the result holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $G$  an ordered group. If  $R$  is a PF-ring, then  $R^*((G))$  is a PF.*

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid automorphism of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any countable subset  $A$  and  $B$  of  $R$  such that  $B \subseteq \text{ann}_R(A)$ , there exists  $c \in \text{ann}_R(A)$  such that  $bc = b$  for all  $b \in B$ .*
- (2)  *$R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  is a PF.*

*Proof.* Take  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t(x, y) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\sigma_x = \alpha^x$ . Then  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Now the result follows from Theorem 2.15.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.18.** [8, Corollary 3.2]. *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. If  $\phi \in R^*((G))$  is an idempotent, then there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $\phi = e$ .*

**Theorem 2.19.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Then  $R^*((G))$  is a PP-ring if and only if  $R$  is a PP.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R^*((G))$  is a PP-ring. Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $\text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(a) = \phi(R^*((G)))$  for some idempotent  $\phi = \sum_z d_z z \in R^*((G))$ . By Lemma 2.18, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $\phi = e$ , we claim that  $\text{ann}_R(a) = eR$ . If  $b \in \text{ann}_R(a)$ , then  $b \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(a) = e(R^*((G)))$ , and so we have  $b = eh$  for some  $h = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y$ . Thus,  $b = e\sigma_1(b_1)t(1,1) \in eR$ . Hence  $\text{ann}_R(a) \subseteq eR$ . For the opposite inclusion is clear. So  $\text{ann}_R(a) = eR$ . Therefore  $R$  is a PP-ring.

Conversely, assume that  $R$  is a PP-ring. Let  $h = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in R^*((G))$ . We will show that there exists  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $\text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h) = e(R^*((G)))$ .

Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $c(h)$  is finitely generated, say  $c(h) = \langle b_{y_1}, b_{y_2}, \dots, b_{y_n} \rangle$ , where  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in G$ . Let  $N = \text{ann}_R(b_{y_1}, \dots, b_{y_n}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{ann}_R(b_{y_i})$ . Since  $R$  is PP, there exist idempotent

$e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in R$  such that  $\text{ann}_R(b_{y_i}) = e_i R$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Take  $e = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$ . Then  $N = eR$  and  $e^2 = e \in R$ . Now we show that  $\text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h) = e(R^*((G)))$ . Let  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h)$ . Then  $a_x b_y = 0$  for any  $x, y \in G$  since  $R$  is reduced by Lemma 2.14. Thus  $a_x \in N$  for any  $x \in G$ , so  $a_x = e a_x$  for any  $x \in G$ . Hence  $f = e(\sum_{x \in G} \sigma_1^{-1}(a_x t(1, x)^{-1})x) \in e(R^*((G)))$ . Therefore  $\text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h) \subseteq e(R^*((G)))$ . From  $e \in N = \text{ann}_R(b_{y_1}, \dots, b_{y_n})$  it follows that  $e \in \text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h)$ . Hence  $\text{ann}_{R^*((G))}(h) = e(R^*((G)))$  and so  $R^*((G))$  is a PP-ring.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.20.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Then  $R^*((G))$  is a reduced left PP-ring if and only if  $R$  is a reduced left PP-ring and every  $G$ -indexed subset  $C$  of  $B(R)$  has a least upper bound in  $B$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.3 [34] and Theorem 2.19.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.21.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative PP-ring and  $G$  an ordered group. If every subset of  $B(R)$  has a least upper bound in  $B(R)$ , then  $R^*((G))$  is a PP-ring.*

Following to Faith [23], a ring  $R$  is called right Zip provided that if the right annihilator  $r_R(X)$  of a subset  $X$  of  $R$  is zero, then there exists a finite subset  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $r_R(Y) = 0$ ; equivalently, for a left ideal  $J$  of  $R$  with  $r_R(J) = 0$ , there exists a finitely generated left ideal  $J_1 \subseteq J$  such that  $r_R(J_1) = 0$ .  $R$  is Zip if it is right and left Zip. Faith [23] it was proved that if  $R$  is a commutative Zip ring and  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then the group ring  $R[G]$  of  $G$  over  $R$  is a Zip-ring.

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring,  $G$  an ordered group and  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Then  $R^*((G))$  is a right Zip-ring if and only if  $R$  is a right Zip.*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that  $R^*((G))$  is Zip and  $X \subseteq R$  with  $r_R(X) = 0$ . If  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in r_{R^*((G))}(X)$ , then  $cf = 0$  for all  $c \in X$ , and so  $ca_x = 0$  for all  $c \in X$  and all  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ . Thus for all  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ ,  $0 = a_x \in r_R(X)$ , and so  $f = 0$ . Hence  $r_{R^*((G))}(X) = 0$ . Since  $R^*((G))$  is Zip, there exists a finite subset  $X_0 \subseteq X$  such that  $r_{R^*((G))}(X_0) = 0$ . Hence  $r_R(X_0) = r_{R^*((G))}(X_0) \cap R = 0$ . Therefore  $R$  is Zip

$\Leftarrow$  Assume that  $R$  is a Zip, and  $V$  is a subset of  $R^*((G))$  with  $r_{R^*((G))}(V) = 0$ . For any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in R^*((G))$ , let  $C_f$  denote the set  $\{a_x \mid x \in \text{supp}(f)\}$ , and for the subset  $V \subseteq R^*((G))$ , let  $C_V$  denote the set  $\cup_{f \in V} C_f$ . Now we show that  $r_R(C_V) = 0$ . If  $r \in r_R(C_V)$ , then  $ar = 0$  for all  $a \in C_V$ . So for any  $f = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \in V$ , we have  $a_x r = 0$  for all  $x \in \text{supp}(f)$ , and so  $fr = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $0 = r \in r_{R^*((G))}(V)$ , and so  $r_R(C_V) = 0$  is proved. Since  $R$  is Zip, there exists a finite subset  $U_0 = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n\} \subseteq C_V$  such that  $r_R(U_0) = 0$ . Let  $f_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$  be an element of  $V$  such that there exists  $u_i \in \text{supp}(f)$  with  $q_i$  is the coefficients of  $u_i$ . Let  $V_0 = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$ . Then  $V_0$  is a finite subset of  $V$  and  $C_{V_0} \supseteq U_0$ . Then  $r_R(C_{V_0}) \subseteq r_R(U_0) = 0$ . Now we show that  $r_{R^*((G))}(V_0) = 0$ . Suppose  $g = \sum_{y \in G} b_y y \in r_{R^*((G))}(V_0)$ . Then  $f_i g = 0$  for any  $f_i = \sum_{x \in G} a_x^i x \in V_0$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $a_x^i b_y = 0$  for all  $x \in \text{supp}(f_i)$  and any  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ . Hence  $0 = b_y \in r_R(C_{V_0})$  for all  $y \in \text{supp}(g)$ , and so  $g = 0$ . Hence  $r_{R^*((G))}(V_0) = 0$ . Therefore  $R^*((G))$  is a Zip.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.23.** *Let  $R$  be a reduced ring and  $\alpha$  is weakly rigid automorphism of  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a right Zip.  
 (2)  $R[[x, x^{-1}; \alpha]]$  is a right Zip.

*Proof.* Take  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t(x, y) = 1$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\sigma_x = \alpha^x$ . Then  $\sigma$  is weakly rigid. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.22.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.24.** *Let  $G$  be an ordered group and  $R$  a reduced ring. Then  $R$  is a right Zip-ring if and only if  $R((G))$  is a right Zip.*

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. Makar-Limanov, The Skew Field of Fractions of the Weyl Algebra Contains a Free Noncommutative Subalgebra, *Commun. Algebra*. 11 (1983), 2003–2006. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927878308822945>.
- [2] M. Lorenz, Division Algebras Generated by Finitely Generated Nilpotent Groups, *J. Algebra*. 85 (1983), 368–381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(83\)90101-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(83)90101-1).
- [3] I.M. Musson, K. Stafford, Malcev-Neumann Group Rings, *Commun. Algebra*. 21 (1993), 2065–2075. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879308824665>.
- [4] C. Sonin, Krull Dimension of Malcev-Neumann Rings, *Commun. Algebra*. 26 (1998), 2915–2931. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826317>.
- [5] D.S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 135, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [6] D.S. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, John Wiley, New York, (1977).
- [7] E. Ali, The Reflexive Condition on Skew Monoid Rings, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 16 (2023), 1878–1893.
- [8] Z.K. Liu, Principal Quasi-Baerness of Malcev-Neumann Rings, *J. Math. (Wuhan, China)*, 25 (2005), 237–246.
- [9] Y.V. Merekin, On a Matrix Representation of Recursive Schemes for Word Generation, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* 31 (2007), 1167–1172.
- [10] E. Ali, A. Elshokry, Some Results on a Generalization of Armendariz Rings, *Asia Pac. J. Math.* 6 (2019), 1. <https://doi.org/10.28924/APJM/6-1>.
- [11] E. Ali, A Note on Skew Generalized Power Serieswise Reversible Property, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 21 (2023), 69. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-21-2023-69>.
- [12] W.K. Nicholson, J.F. Watters, Rings With Projective Socle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1988), 443–450.
- [13] X. Weimin, Modules With Projective Socles, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, 1(5) (1992), 311–315.
- [14] L. Zhongkui, L. Fang, Ps-Rings of Generalized Power Series, *Commun. Algebra*. 26 (1998), 2283–2291. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826276>.
- [15] E. Ali, On Crossed Product Rings Over p.q.-Baer and Quasi-Baer Rings, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 21 (2023), 108. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-21-2023-108>.
- [16] E. Ali, A. Elshokry, Some Properties of Quasi-Armendariz Rings and Their Generalizations, *Asia P. J. Math.* 5 (2018), 14–26.
- [17] E. Ali, Generalized Reflexive Structures Properties of Crossed Products Type, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 16 (2023), 2156–2168. <https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v16i4.4918>.
- [18] E. Ali, A. Elshokry, A Note on  $(S, \omega)$ -Quasi-Armendariz Rings, *Palestine J. Math.* 12 (2023), 452–464.
- [19] C.Y. Hong, N.K. Kim, T.K. Kwak, Ore Extensions of Baer and p.p.-Rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*. 151 (2000), 215–226. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049\(99\)00020-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049(99)00020-1).
- [20] A. Majidinya, A. Moussavi, K. Paykan, Generalized APP-Rings, *Commun. Algebra*. 41 (2013), 4722–4750. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2011.636414>.

- [21] B. Stenstrom, Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975.
- [22] C.E. Rickart, Banach Algebras With an Adjoint Operation, Ann. Math. 47 (1946), 528–550. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1969091>.
- [23] C. Faith, Rings With Zero Intersection Property on Annihilators: Zip Rings, Publ. Mat. 33 (1989), 329–338. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43737136>.
- [24] J.J. Rotman, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Academic Press, San Diego, 1993.
- [25] G.F. Birkenmeier, J.Y. Kim, J.K. Park, Principally Quasi-Baer Rings, Commun. Algebra. 29 (2001), 639–660. <https://doi.org/10.1081/agb-100001530>.
- [26] H. Al-Ezeh, On Some Properties of Polynomials Rings, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 10 (1987), 311–314. <https://doi.org/10.1155/s0161171287000371>.
- [27] H. Al-Ezeh, Two Properties of the Power Series Ring, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 11 (1988), 9–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/s0161171288000031>.
- [28] H. Tominaga, On S-Unital Rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 18 (1976), 117–134.
- [29] H. Kim and T.I. Kwon, PF-Rings of Generalized Power Series, Kyungpook Math. J. 47 (2007), 127–132.
- [30] I. Kaplansky, Rings of Operators, Benjamin, New York, (1965).
- [31] J.H. Kim, A Note on the Quotient Ring  $R((X))$  of the Power Series Ring  $R[[X]]$ , J. Korean Math. Soc. 25 (1998), 265–271.
- [32] R. Zhao, Left APP-Rings of Skew Generalized Power Series, J. Algebra Appl. 10 (2011), 891–900. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0219498811005014>.
- [33] W.E. Clark, Twisted Matrix Units Semigroup Algebras, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967), 417–423. <https://doi.org/10.1215/s0012-7094-67-03446-1>.
- [34] Z.K. Liu, J. Ahsan, PP-Rings of Generalized Power Series, Acta Math. Sinica. 16 (2000), 573–578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s1011400000884>.
- [35] Z.K. Liu, Z. Renyu, a Generalization OF PP-Rings and p.q.-Baer Rings, Glasgow Math. J. 48 (2006), 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0017089506003016>.