

**Instabilities and Stabilities of Additive Functional Equation in Paranormed Spaces****S. Karthikeyan<sup>1,\*</sup>, J. Venkatesan<sup>2</sup>, N. Vijaya<sup>3</sup>, G. Chitra<sup>4</sup>, G. Ganapathy<sup>5</sup>**<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, R.M.K. Engineering College, Kavaraipettai - 601 206, Tamil Nadu, India*<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Rajalakshmi Engineering College, Thandalam - 602 105, Tamil Nadu, India*<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Thandalam, Chennai - 602105, Tamil Nadu, India*<sup>4</sup>*Department of Mathematics, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Krishnankoil - 626 126, Tamil Nadu, India*<sup>5</sup>*Department of Mathematics, R.M.D. Engineering College, Kavaraipettai - 601 206, Tamil Nadu, India**\*Corresponding author: kns.sh@rmkec.ac.in*

**Abstract.** In this paper, we solve the general solution in vector space and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive functional equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_1\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_2\right) \\
 & = f(x_1 - x_2) + f\left(x_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + f\left(\sum_{i=3}^n x_i - x_1\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

in paranormed spaces by using the direct and fixed point methods. Also we present its pertinent counter examples for instabilities.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

A classical question in the theory of functional equations is the following "Whether it is true that a function which approximately satisfies a functional equation  $\epsilon$  must be close to an exact solution  $\epsilon$ ? If the problem accepts a solution, can we say that the equation  $\epsilon$  is stable". The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. The stability question is: How do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given functional equation?

Received: Aug. 6, 2023.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 39B72, 39B82.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyers-Ulam stability; additive functional equation; paranormed spaces.

In the fall of 1940, Stanislaw M. Ulam [36] gave a wide-ranging talk before a Mathematical Colloquium at the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the following question concerning the stability of homomorphisms: Let  $(G_1, *)$  be a group and let  $(G_2, \diamond, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(.,.)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta \quad \forall x, y \in G_1,$$

then there is a homomorphism  $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with  $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ .

If the answer is affirmative, we say that the functional equation for homomorphisms is stable. In the next year, D.H. Hyers [12] gave an affirmative answer to this question for additive groups under the assumption that groups are Banach spaces. He brilliantly answered the question of Ulam for the case where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are assumed to be Banach spaces. The result of Hyers is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  be a function between Banach spaces such that*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon \tag{1.1}$$

*for all  $x, y \in E_1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is a constant. Then the limit*

$$A(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x) \tag{1.2}$$

*exists for each  $x \in E_1$  and  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is unique additive mapping satisfying*

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \epsilon \tag{1.3}$$

*for all  $x \in E_1$ . Moreover, if  $f(tx)$  is continuous in  $t$  for each fixed  $x \in E_1$ , then the function  $A$  is linear.*

Taking this famous result into consideration, the additive Cauchy functional equation  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  is said to have the Hyers-Ulam stability on  $(E_1, E_2)$  if for every function  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfying the inequality (1.1) for some  $\epsilon \geq 0$  and for all  $x, y \in E_1$ , there exists an additive function  $A : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  such that  $f - A$  is bounded on  $E_1$ . The method in (1.2) provided by Hyers which produces the additive function  $A$  will be called a direct method. This method is the most important and powerful tool to study the stability of various functional equations.

It is possible to prove a stability result similar to Hyers functions that do not have bounded Cauchy difference. T. Aoki (1950) [2] first generalized the Hyers theorem for unbounded Cauchy difference having sum of norms  $(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$ .

The same result was rediscovered by Th. M. Rassias [30] in 1978 and proved a generalization of Hyers theorem for additive mappings. This stability result is named Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability or Hyers-Ulam-Aoki-Rassias stability for the functional equation. In 1982 J.M. Rassias [28], followed the innovative approach of Rassias theorem in which he replaced the factor  $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$  by  $\|x\|^p \|y\|^q$  with  $p + q \neq 1$ . Later this stability result was called Ulam-Gavruta-Rassias stability of functional equation.

In 1990, Th.M. Rassias during the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem in [31] can also be proved for value of  $p$  greater or equal to 1. In 1991, Gajda [10] provided an affirmative solution to Th.M. Rassias' question for  $p$  strictly greater than one. In 1994, P. Găvruta [11] provided a further generalization of Th.M. Rassias [30] theorem in which he replaced the bound  $\epsilon (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\phi(x, y)$ . This stability result is called Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equation. In 2008, a special case of Găvruta's theorem for the unbounded Cauchy difference was obtained by K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar and J.M. Rassias [32] by considering the summation of both the sum and the product of two  $p$ -norms in the spirit of Rassias approach and is named J. M. Rassias Stability of functional equation. Later authors used many spaces to stabilize the equations of additive functions, giving flexible results [1, 3, 6, 13, 19, 25].

Functional equations can be used to study a wide range of problems, such as describing the behavior of physical systems, solving mathematical puzzles, and understanding data.

The equation of the additive function is

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y). \quad (1.4)$$

Since  $f(x) = kx$  is a solution of the functional equation (1.4), each solution of the additive functional equation is called an additive map.

In this article, we propose the stability of  $n$  variable additive functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_1\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_2\right) \\ = f(x_1 - x_2) + f\left(x_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + f\left(\sum_{i=3}^n x_i - x_1\right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

using direct and fixed-point methods in paranormed spaces.

## 2. SOLUTION OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION (1.5)

In this section, we give the general solution of the functional equation (1.5) in vector spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be real vector spaces. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.4) if and only if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.5) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.4).

Setting  $x = y = 0$  in (1.4), we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Letting  $y = -x$  in (1.4), we obtain  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $f$  is an odd mapping. Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (1.4), we get  $f(2x) = 2f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Replacing  $(x, y)$  by  $\left(\frac{x_1 + x_3}{2}, x_2\right)$  in (1.4) and using oddness, we get

$$f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_3}{2} - x_2\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_3) - f(x_2) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $(x, y)$  by  $\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}, x_1\right)$  in (1.4), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2} - x_1\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x_2) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_3) - f(x_1) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $(x, y)$  by  $\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}, x_3\right)$  in (1.4), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - x_3\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x_1) + \frac{1}{2}f(x_2) - f(x_3) \quad (2.3)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Adding (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and using oddness, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) - x_3\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2}\right) - x_1\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{x_3 + x_1}{2}\right) - x_2\right) \\ = f(x_1 - x_2) + f(x_2 - x_3) + f(x_3 - x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Finally, replacing  $x_3$  by  $x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n$ , we have (1.5) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

Conversely, assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.5). Setting  $x_4 = x_5 = \dots = x_n = 0$  in (1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - x_3\right) + f\left(\frac{x_2 + x_3}{2} - x_1\right) + f\left(\frac{x_3 + x_1}{2} - x_2\right) \\ = f(x_1 - x_2) + f(x_2 - x_3) + f(x_3 - x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Setting  $x_2 = x_1, x_3 = -x_1$  in (2.5) and finally replacing  $x_1$  by  $-x$ , we obtain

$$2f(x) = f(2x) \quad (2.6)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (2.6), we get

$$f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) \quad (2.7)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  by  $(x, -x, 0)$  in (2.5) and using (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$f(-x) = -f(x) \quad (2.8)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence  $f$  is an odd mapping. Letting  $x_1 = x, x_2 = y, x_3 = 0$  in (2.5) and using the oddness, we obtain

$$f(x + y) + f(y - 2x) + f(x - 2y) = 2f(x - y) + 2f(y) - 2f(x) \quad (2.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Interchanging  $x$  and  $y$  in (2.9) and using the oddness, we obtain

$$f(x + y) + f(x - 2y) + f(-2x + y) = -2f(x - y) + 2f(x) - 2f(y) \quad (2.10)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Subtracting (2.10) from (2.9), we get

$$f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y) \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  and using the oddness, we have (1.4) for all  $x, y \in X$ .  $\square$

### 3. BASIC CONCEPTS ON PARANORMED SPACES

We recall some basic facts concerning Fréchet spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** [37] Let  $X$  be a vector space. A paranorm  $P : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a function on  $X$  such that

- (P1)  $P(0) = 0$ ;
- (P2)  $P(-x) = P(x)$ ;
- (P3)  $P(x + y) \leq P(x) + P(y)$  (triangle inequality);
- (P4) If  $\{t_n\}$  is a sequence of scalars with  $t_n \rightarrow t$  and  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  with  $P(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $P(t_n x_n - tx) \rightarrow 0$  (continuity of multiplication).

The pair  $(X, P)$  is called a **paranormed space** if  $P$  is a **paranorm** on  $X$ .

**Definition 3.2.** [37] The paranorm is called total if, in addition, we have

- (P5)  $P(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ .

**Definition 3.3.** [37] A Fréchet space is a total and complete paranormed space.

### 4. STABILITY RESULTS: HYERS' DIRECT METHOD

In this section, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.5) in paranormed spaces using direct method.

Throughout this section, let  $(U, P)$  be a Fréchet space and  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space.

For the convenience, we define a mapping  $F : U^n \rightarrow V$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) \\
 &\quad + f\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_1\right) + f\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_2\right) \\
 &\quad - f(x_1 - x_2) - f\left(x_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) - f\left(\sum_{i=3}^n x_i - x_1\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $j \in \{-1, 1\}$  be fixed and  $\alpha : U^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function with the condition

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{nj} \xi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^{nj}}, \frac{x_2}{2^{nj}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^{nj}}\right) < +\infty \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Suppose that a mapping  $f : U^n \rightarrow V$  satisfies the following inequality

$$P(F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \leq \xi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  such that

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq \sum_{k=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} 2^{kj} \xi\left(\frac{x}{2^{kj}}, \frac{x}{2^{kj}}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \tag{4.3}$$

for all  $x \in U$ . The mapping  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  is defined by

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{nj} f\left(\frac{x}{2^{nj}}\right) - A(x)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x \in U$ .

*Proof.* Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (4.2), we get

$$P\left(2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - f(x)\right) \leq \xi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (4.5)$$

for all  $x \in U$ . For any  $m, n > 0$ , we simplify

$$P\left(2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} 2^k \xi\left(\frac{x}{2^k}, \frac{x}{2^k}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x \in U$  and all  $m, n \geq 0$ . It follows from (4.6) that the sequence  $\left\{2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)\right\}$  is Cauchy sequence. Since  $V$  is complete, there exists a mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  by

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - \mathcal{A}(x)\right) \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $x \in U$ . By continuity of multiplication, we have

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) - t \mathcal{A}(x)\right) \rightarrow 0$$

Letting  $m = 0$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.6), we see that (4.3) holds for all  $x \in U$ . To show that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (1.5), replacing  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right)$  in (4.2), we get

$$P\left(2^n F\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right)\right) \leq 2^n \xi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \frac{x_2}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}\right)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality and using the definition of  $\mathcal{A}(x)$ , we see that

$$P(\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = 0 \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Using condition (P5) in (4.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + \mathcal{A}\left(\left(\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_1\right) + \mathcal{A}\left(\left(\frac{x_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n x_i}{2}\right) - x_2\right) \\ &= \mathcal{A}(x_1 - x_2) + \mathcal{A}\left(x_2 - \sum_{i=3}^n x_i\right) + \mathcal{A}\left(\sum_{i=3}^n x_i - x_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Hence  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies (1.5) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . In order to prove that  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  is unique, let  $\mathcal{A}'(x)$  be another additive mapping satisfying (1.5) and (4.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{A}'(x)) &= 2^m \left\{ P\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - \mathcal{A}'\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right) \right\} \\ &\leq 2^m \left\{ P\left(\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right) + P\left(f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) - \mathcal{A}'\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\right) \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k+m} \xi\left(\frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, \frac{x}{2^{k+m}}, 0, \dots, 0\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in U$ . Thus  $P(\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{A}'(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence, we have  $\mathcal{A}(x) = \mathcal{A}'(x)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  is unique. Thus the mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  is a unique additive mapping. Thus the theorem holds for  $j = 1$

For  $j = -1$ , we can prove the result by a similar method. This completes the proof. □

From Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability for the functional equation (1.5).

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $F : U^n \rightarrow V$  be a mapping and assume that there exist real numbers  $\sigma$  and  $s$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P(F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \\
 &\leq \begin{cases} \sigma, & \\ \sigma \{P(x_1)^s + P(x_2)^s + \dots + P(x_n)^s\}, & s \neq 1 \\ \sigma \{P(x_1)^s P(x_2)^s \dots P(x_n)^s + \{P(x_1)^{ns} + \dots + P(x_n)^{ns}\}\}, & s \neq \frac{1}{n} \end{cases} \quad (4.8)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  such that

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma}{|1|} \\ \frac{2\sigma P(x)^s}{|2 - 2^s|} \\ \frac{2\sigma P(x)^{ns}}{|2 - 2^{ns}|} \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $x \in U$ .

### 5. ALTERNATIVE STABILITY RESULTS: FIXED POINT METHOD

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.5) in paranormed spaces by using the fixed point method.

Now, we will recall the fundamental results in fixed point theory.

**Theorem 5.1.** [20] *Suppose that for a complete generalized metric space  $(X, d)$  and a strictly contractive mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Then, for each given element  $x \in X$ , either*

(B1) 
$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) = \infty \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

or

(B2) *there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that:*

(i)  $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) < \infty$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ ;

(ii) *The sequence  $(T^n x)$  is convergent to a fixed point  $y^*$  of  $T$*

(iii)  $y^*$  *is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $Y = \{y \in X : d(T^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$ ;*

(iv)  $d(y^*, y) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Ty)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Many researchers have applied the fixed point alternative method to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations (see [4, 5, 7, 26]).

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $F : U^n \rightarrow V$  be a mapping for which there exists a function  $\xi : U^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with the condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i^n \xi \left( \frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}, \frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n} \right) = 0 \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\mu_1 = 2$  such that the functional inequality

$$P(F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) \leq \xi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad (5.2)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . If there exists  $L = L(i) < 1$  such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \gamma(x) = \xi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$$

has the property

$$\gamma(x) = L \mu_i \gamma \left( \frac{x}{\mu_i} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

then there exists a unique additive mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  satisfying the functional equation (1.5) and

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \gamma(x) = \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L} \xi(2x, 2x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (5.4)$$

for all  $x \in U$ .

*Proof.* Consider the set  $\Omega = \{p/p : U^n \rightarrow V, p(0,0) = 0\}$  and introduce the generalized metric on  $\Omega$ ,  $d(p, q) = d_\gamma(p, q) = \inf\{K \in (0, \infty) : P(p(x) - q(x)) \leq K\gamma(x), x \in U\}$ . It is easy to see that  $(\Omega, d)$  is complete.

Define  $T : \Omega^n \rightarrow \Omega$  by  $Tp(x, x) = \mu_i p \left( \frac{x}{\mu_i} \right)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Now  $p, q \in \Omega$  imply that  $d(Tp, Tq) \leq Ld(p, q)$  for all  $p, q \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $T$  is a strictly contractive mapping on  $\Omega$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ .

Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(x, x, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (5.2), we get

$$P \left( 2f \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) - f(x) \right) \leq \xi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (5.5)$$

for all  $x \in U$ . By using (5.3) for the case  $i = 1$ , it reduces to

$$P \left( 2f \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) - f(x) \right) \leq \xi(x, x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq \gamma(x)$$

for all  $x \in U$ ,

$$\text{i.e., } d_\gamma(Tf, f) \leq 1 \Rightarrow d(Tf, f) \leq L^0 < \infty.$$

Again replacing  $x = 2x$  in (5.5), we get

$$P(2f(x) - f(2x)) \leq \xi(2x, 2x, 0, \dots, 0). \quad (5.6)$$

By using (5.3) for the case  $i = 0$ , it reduces to

$$P(2f(x) - f(2x)) \leq \xi(2x, 2x, 0, \dots, 0) \leq L\gamma(x)$$

for all  $x \in U$ ,

$$\text{i.e., } d_\gamma(f, Tf) \leq L \Rightarrow d(f, Tf) \leq L^1 < \infty.$$



In both cases, we obtain  $d(g, Tg) \leq L^{1-i}$ . Therefore (A1) holds. By (A2), it follows that there exists a fixed point  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $T$  in  $\Omega$  such that

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i^n \left( f\left(\frac{x}{\mu_i^{n+1}}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{\mu_i^n}\right) \right) - \mathcal{A}(x)\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.7}$$

for all  $x \in U$ . To prove  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  is additive. Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $\left(\frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}, \frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n}\right)$  in (5.2) and multiply by  $\mu_i^n$ , it follows from (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\mu_i^n F\left(\frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}, \frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_i^n \xi\left(\frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}, \frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n}\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the functional equation (1.5).

By (A3),  $\mathcal{A}$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set  $\Delta = \{\mathcal{A} \in \Omega : d(f, \mathcal{A}) < \infty\}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the unique mapping such that  $P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq K\gamma(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $K > 0$ . Finally by (A4), we obtain  $d(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, Tf)$  which implies  $d(f, \mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$  which yields  $P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\gamma(x)$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.2 concerning the stability of (1.5).

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $F : U^n \rightarrow V$  be a mapping and assume that there exist real numbers  $\sigma$  and  $s$  such that the inequality (4.8). Then there exists a unique additive mapping  $\mathcal{A} : U^n \rightarrow V$  such that the inequality (4.9) holds for all  $x \in U$ .*

*Proof.* Set

$$\xi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} \sigma \\ \sigma \{P(x_1)^s + P(x_2)^s + \dots + P(x_n)^s\} \\ \sigma \{P(x_1)^s P(x_2)^s \dots P(x_n)^s + \{P(x_1)^{ns} + P(x_2)^{ns} + \dots + P(x_n)^{ns}\}\} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in U$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^n \xi\left(\frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}, \frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n}\right) &= \begin{cases} \mu_i^n \sigma, \\ \mu_i^n \sigma \left\{ P\left(\frac{x_1}{\mu_i^n}\right)^s + P\left(\frac{x_2}{\mu_i^n}\right)^s + \dots + P\left(\frac{x_n}{\mu_i^n}\right)^s \right\} \\ \mu_i^n \sigma \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n P\left(\frac{x_i}{\mu_i^n}\right)^s + \sum_{i=1}^n P\left(\frac{x_i}{\mu_i^n}\right)^{ns} \right\} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then (5.1) holds.

But we have  $\gamma(x) = \xi(2x, 2x, 0, \dots, 0)$  has the property  $L\gamma(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_i}\gamma(\mu_i x)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence

$$\gamma(x) = \xi(2x, 2x, 0, \dots, 0) = \begin{cases} \sigma, \\ 2\sigma 2^s P(x)^s, \\ 2\sigma 2^{ns} P(x)^{ns}. \end{cases}$$

Now,

$$\mu_i \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\mu_i}\right) = \begin{cases} \mu_i \sigma \\ 2\mu_i^{1-s} \sigma P(x)^s \\ 2\mu_i^{1-ns} \sigma P(x)^{ns} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mu_i \gamma(x) \\ \frac{\mu_i^{1-s}}{2^s} \gamma(x) \\ \frac{\mu_i^{1-ns}}{2^{ns}} \gamma(x) \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in U$ . Hence the inequality (5.3). Now from (5.4), we prove the following cases.

**Case 1:**  $L = 2^{-1}$  for  $s = 0$  if  $i = 0$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{2^{-1}}{1-2^{-1}}\gamma(x)\right) \leq P\left(\frac{\sigma}{1}\right).$$

**Case 2:**  $L = 2$  for  $s = 0$  if  $i = 1$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{1}{1-2}\gamma(x)\right) \leq P\left(\frac{\sigma}{-1}\right).$$

**Case 3:**  $L = 2^{s-1}$  for  $s < 4$  if  $i = 0$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{(2^{s-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{s-1}}\right)\gamma(x) \leq \frac{2\sigma P(x)^s}{2-2^s}.$$

**Case 4:**  $L = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}}$  for  $s > 4$  if  $i = 1$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{(2^{1-s})^0}{1-2^{1-s}}\right)\gamma(x) \leq \frac{2\sigma P(x)^s}{2^s-2}.$$

**Case 5:**  $L = 2^{ns-1}$  for  $s < \frac{1}{n}$  if  $i = 0$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{(2^{ns-1})^{1-0}}{1-2^{ns-1}}\right)\gamma(x) \leq \frac{2\sigma P(x)^{ns}}{2-2^{ns}}.$$

**Case 6:**  $L = \frac{1}{2^{ns-1}}$  for  $s > \frac{1}{n}$  if  $i = 1$

$$P(f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)) \leq P\left(\frac{(2^{1-ns})^0}{1-2^{1-ns}}\right)\gamma(x) \leq \frac{2\sigma P(x)^{ns}}{2^{ns}-2}.$$

□

6. COUNTER EXAMPLES FOR NON STABILITY CASES

In this section, authors discussed the counter examples for non stable cases for the Corollaries 4.1, and 5.1.

Now we will provide an example to illustrate that the functional equation (1.5) is not stable for  $s = 1$  in Condition (ii) of Corollary 4.1.

**Example 6.1.** Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \mu x, & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ \mu, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu > 0$  is a constant, and define a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^k x)}{2^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$|F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq 12\mu (|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|) \tag{6.1}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there do not exists a mapping  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)| \leq \beta|x| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.2}$$

**Proof.** Now

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\rho(2^k x)|}{|2^k|} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{2^k} = 2\mu.$$

Therefore we see that  $f$  is bounded. We are going to prove that  $f$  satisfies (6.1).

If  $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$  then (6.1) is trivial. If  $|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| \geq \frac{1}{2}$  then the left hand side of (6.1) is less than  $12\mu$ . Now suppose that  $0 < |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $\ell$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2^\ell} \leq |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| < \frac{1}{2^{\ell-1}}, \tag{6.3}$$

so that  $2^{\ell-1}|x_1| < 1, 2^{\ell-1}|x_2| < 1, \dots, 2^{\ell-1}|x_n| < 1$  and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{\ell-1} \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - x_3 - x_4 + \dots - x_n \right), 2^{\ell-1} \left( \frac{x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n}{2} - x_1 \right), \\ &2^{\ell-1} \left( \frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n}{2} - x_2 \right), -2^{\ell-1}(x_1 - x_2), -2^{\ell-1}(x_2 - x_3 - \dots - x_n), \\ &-2^{\ell-1}(x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n - x_1) \in (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2^k \left( \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} - x_3 - x_4 + \dots - x_n \right), 2^k \left( \frac{x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n}{2} - x_1 \right), \\ &2^k \left( \frac{x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n}{2} - x_2 \right), -2^k(x_1 - x_2), -2^k(x_2 - x_3 - \dots - x_n), \\ &-2^k(x_3 + x_4 + \dots + x_n - x_1) \in (-1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}-x_3-x_4+\cdots-x_n\right)\right)+\rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_2+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_1\right)\right) \\ & +\rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_2\right)\right)-\rho\left(2^k(x_1-x_2)\right)-\rho\left(2^k(x_2-x_3-\cdots-x_n)\right) \\ & -\rho\left(2^k(x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n-x_1)\right)=0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1$ . From the definition of  $f$  and (6.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| & \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left| \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}-x_3-x_4+\cdots-x_n\right)\right) + \right. \\ & \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_2+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_1\right)\right) + \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_2\right)\right) \\ & \left. - \rho\left(2^k(x_1-x_2)\right) - \rho\left(2^k(x_2-x_3-\cdots-x_n)\right) - \rho\left(2^k(x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n-x_1)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left| \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}-x_3-x_4+\cdots-x_n\right)\right) + \right. \\ & \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_2+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_1\right)\right) + \rho\left(2^k\left(\frac{x_1+x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n}{2}-x_2\right)\right) \\ & \left. - \rho\left(2^k(x_1-x_2)\right) - \rho\left(2^k(x_2-x_3-\cdots-x_n)\right) - \rho\left(2^k(x_3+x_4+\cdots+x_n-x_1)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} \frac{6}{2^k} \mu \leq 12\mu(|x_1|+|x_2|+\cdots+|x_n|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f$  satisfies (6.1) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n| < 1$ .

We claim that the additive functional equation (1.5) is not stable for  $s = 1$  in condition (ii) of Corollary 4.1. Suppose on the contrary that there exist a mapping  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $\beta > 0$  satisfying (6.2). Since  $f$  is bounded and continuous for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is bounded on any open interval containing the origin and continuous at the origin. In view of Theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{A}$  must have the form  $\mathcal{A}(x) = cx$  for any  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus we obtain that

$$|f(x)| \leq (\beta + |c|)|x|. \quad (6.4)$$

But we can choose a positive integer  $m$  with  $m\mu > \beta + |c|$ .

If  $x \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\right)$ , then  $2^k x \in (0, 1)$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . For this  $x$ , we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^n x)}{2^n} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\mu(2^n x)}{2^n} = m\mu x > (\beta + |c|)x$$

which contradicts (6.4). Therefore the additive functional equation (1.5) is not stable in sense of Ulam, Hyers and Rassias if  $s = 1$ , assumed in the inequality (4.9).

Now we will provide an example to illustrate that the functional equation (1.5) is not stable for  $s = \frac{1}{n}$  in Condition (iii) of Corollary 4.1.

**Example 6.2.** Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function defined by

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \mu x, & \text{if } |x| < \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{\mu}{n}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu > 0$  is a constant, and define a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho(2^k x)}{2^k} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$|F(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \leq 12\mu \left( |x_1|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdots |x_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x_1| + \cdots + |x_n| \right) \quad (6.5)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then there do not exists a mapping  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)| \leq \beta|x| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.6)$$

**Proof.** Now

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\rho(2^k x)|}{|2^k|} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{2^k} = 2\mu.$$

Therefore we see that  $f$  is bounded. We are going to prove that  $f$  satisfies (6.5).

If  $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$  then (6.5) is trivial. If  $|x_1|^{\frac{1}{n}} |x_2|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdots |x_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n| \geq \frac{1}{n}$  then the left hand side of (6.5) is less than  $12\mu$ . Now suppose that  $0 < |x_1|^{\frac{1}{n}} |x_2|^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdots |x_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} + |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Example 6.1. Therefore the additive functional equation (1.5) is not stable in sense of Ulam, Hyers and Rassias if  $s = \frac{1}{n}$ , assumed in the inequality (4.9).

## 7. CONCLUSION

This article has proved the Hyers-Ulam, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias and Rassias stability results of the  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation in paranormed spaces by using the direct and fixed point methods with suitable counterexamples.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adam, Alienation of the Quadratic and Additive Functional Equations, *Anal. Math.* 45 (2019), 449–460. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10476-019-0869-1>.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the Stability of the Linear Transformation in Banach Spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* 2 (1950), 64–66. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>.
- [3] M. Arunkumar, S. Karthikeyan, Solution and Stability of  $n$ -Dimensional Additive Functional Equation, *Int. J. Appl. Math.* 25 (2012), 163–174.
- [4] G. Asgari, Y. Cho, Y. Lee, M.E. Gordji, Fixed Points and Stability of Functional Equations in Fuzzy Ternary Banach Algebras, *J. Inequal Appl.* 2013 (2013), 166. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242x-2013-166>.
- [5] L. Cadariu, V. Radu, Fixed Points in Generalized Metric Space and the Stability of a Quartic Functional Equation, *Bul. Stiint. Univ. Politeh. Timis. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* 50 (2005), 25–34.

- [6] M.E. Gordji, H. Khodaei, M. Kamyar, Stability of Cauchy-Jensen Type Functional Equation in Generalized Fuzzy Normed Spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 62 (2011), 2950–2960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.07.072>.
- [7] M.E. Gordji, H. Khodaei, Th.M. Rassias, Fixed Points and Generalized Stability for Quadratic and Quartic Mappings in  $C^*$ -Algebras, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 17 (2015), 703–715. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-015-0251-2>.
- [8] H. Fast, Sur la Convergence Statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 241–244. <http://eudml.org/doc/209960>.
- [9] J.A. Fridy, On Statistical Convergence, *Analysis*, 5 (1985), 301–313.
- [10] Z. Gajda, On Stability of Additive Mappings, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 14 (1991), 431–434.
- [11] P. Gavruta, A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 184 (1994), 431–436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>.
- [12] D.H. Hyers, On the Stability of the Linear Functional Equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 27 (1941), 222–224. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>.
- [13] S. Jung, Local Stability of the Additive Functional Equation, *Glasnik Mat.* 38 (2003), 45–55.
- [14] S. Karakus, Statistical convergence on probabilistic normed spaces, *Math. Commun.* 12 (2007), 11–23.
- [15] K. Subramani, C. Park, J. Rassias, Stability of quartic functional equation in paranormed spaces, *Math. Anal. Contemp. Appl.* 3 (2021), 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.30495/maca.2021.1924046.1001>.
- [16] S. Karthikeyan, C. Park, P. Palani, T.R.K. Kumar, Stability of an Additive-Quartic Functional Equation in Modular Spaces, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 26 (2021), 22–40. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jmcs.026.01.04>.
- [17] M. Khanehgir, Stability of the Jensen’s Functional Equation in Multi-Fuzzy Normed Spaces, *Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst.* 14 (2017), 105–119. <https://doi.org/10.22111/ijfs.2017.3258>.
- [18] E. Kolk, The Statistical Convergence in Banach Spaces, *Tartu UI Toime.* 928 (1991), 41–52.
- [19] Y.H. Lee, G. Kim, Generalized Hyers–Ulam Stability of the Additive Functional Equation, *Axioms*. 8 (2019), 76. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms8020076>.
- [20] B. Margolis, J. B. Diaz, A Fixed Point Theorem of the Alternative for Contractions on a Generalized Complete Metric Space, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74 (1968), 305–309.
- [21] M. Mursaleen,  $\lambda$ -Statistical Convergence, *Math. Slovaca.* 50 (2000), 111–115. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136769>.
- [22] M. Mursaleen, S.A. Mohiuddine, On Lacunary Statistical Convergence With Respect to the Intuitionistic Fuzzy Normed Space, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 233 (2009), 142–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2009.07.005>.
- [23] A. Niazi Motlagh, The Generalized Hyers–ulam Stability of Derivations in Non-Archimedean Banach Algebras, *Math. Anal. Cont. Appl.* 2 (2020), 17–22. <https://doi.org/10.30495/maca.2020.679852>.
- [24] C. Park, J.M. Rassias, A. Bodaghi, S.O. Kim, Approximate Homomorphisms From Ternary Semigroups to Modular Spaces, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM.* 113 (2018), 2175–2188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-018-0608-7>.
- [25] C. Park, M.Th. Rassias, Additive functional equations and partial multipliers in  $C^*$ -algebras, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM.* 113 (2018), 2261–2275. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-018-0612-y>.
- [26] V. Radu, The Fixed Point Alternative and the Stability of Functional Equations, *Fixed Point Theory.* 4 (2003), 91–96.
- [27] M. Ramdoss, D. Pachaiyappan, H. Dutta, Functional Equation and Its Modular Stability With and Without  $\Delta_p$  Condition, *Filomat.* 34 (2020), 919–930. <https://doi.org/10.2298/fil2003919r>.
- [28] J. M. Rassias, *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, *J. Funct. Anal. USA*, 46, (1982) 126-130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(82\)90048-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(82)90048-9)
- [29] J.M. Rassias, S. Karthikeyan, G. Ganapathy, M. Suresh, T.R.K. Kumar, Generalized Ulam-Hyers Stability Results of a Quadratic Functional Equation in Felbin’s Type Fuzzy Normed Linear Spaces, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 20 (2022), 15. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-20-2022-15>.
- [30] T.M. Rassias, On the Stability of the Linear Mapping in Banach Spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72 (1978), 297–300. 0
- [31] Th. M. Rassias: Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations. *Aequationes Math.* 39 (1990), 292-293; 309.

- 
- [32] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar, J. M. Rassias, Ulam Stability for the Orthogonally General Euler-Lagrange Type Functional Equation, *Int. J. Math. Stat.* 3 (2008), 36–46.
- [33] T. Salat, On the Statistically Convergent Sequences of Real Numbers, *Math. Slovaca.* 30 (1980), 139–150. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136236>.
- [34] G.L. Sewell, *Quantum Mechanics and its Emergent Macrophysics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2002.
- [35] H. Steinhaus, Sur la Convergence Ordinaire et la Convergence Asymptotique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 73–74.
- [36] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.
- [37] A. Wilansky, *Modern Methods in Topological Vector Space*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978.